Disease Management Programs in Heart Failure: One Step Beyond Pharmacology
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Despite pharmacological advances, both mortality and the incidence of hospital admissions are high for heart failure. As with many other chronic diseases, the findings of clinical trials are difficult to implement due to lack of adherence to treatment, frequent comorbidities not considered in clinical trials, and health systems' typical focus on acute diseases. Public health systems usually pay less attention to ongoing monitoring and the therapeutic measures necessary for chronic diseases. Unlike acute diseases, chronic conditions require adherence to medication, non-pharmacologic approaches such as diet, and prolonged follow-up at different levels of the health services. Since the mid-nineties, various initiatives such as that of Rich et al. began to show promising results in reducing admissions for decompensated heart failure via heart failure management programs. Other publications have also reported reduced costs and improved quality of life. Various strategies framed in what might be called chronic disease management were used, such as heart failure consultations, home visits, scheduled discharge programs, telephone follow-ups and monitoring systems.

A recently published clinical trial that used only telemonitoring did not show favorable results. However, in this study physiological variables were monitored and there was very low adherence to monitoring during the follow-up. Other studies have had greater adherence to the originally scheduled strategy, such as the DIAL study which, using a more comprehensive strategy by telephone, managed to reduce heart failure admissions by 30%, 7 which is consistent with the evidence available so far. Despite the several systematic reviews available, these must be interpreted with caution, given the clinical heterogeneity inherent in such different interventions. A recent systematic review included 20 randomized trials of remote monitoring systems, which showed a 30% reduction in heart failure admissions. The study of Domingo et al. published in this issue reports that telemonitoring was feasible and added benefit to patients in optimal treatment, ie, the majority of the patients. However, several less complex interventions that act on the determinants of the evolution of chronic diseases - such as clinical practice support, evaluation information systems, and decision and self-care support - have proved to be effective, regardless of the implementation format (telephone, outpatient visits or other strategies). Heart failure management programs can be effective in various formats, with varying degrees of complexity. Complex and costly interventions have shown equal or less efficacy than simpler interventions. The key to the effectiveness of any intervention may lie in the action on the main factors of chronic disease, regardless of its complexity.

The study of Domingo et al. published in this issue reports that it is not possible to estimate the effect of the proposed telemonitoring with the same force of evidence as a randomized study, as the main evaluation was the before-after analysis, and all patients received the main intervention. However, the results are consistent with the evidence available so far. Despite the several systematic reviews available, these must be interpreted with caution, given the clinical heterogeneity inherent in such different interventions. A recent systematic review included 20 randomized trials of remote monitoring systems, which showed a 30% reduction in heart failure admissions. Studies using similar telemonitoring strategies, such as Cleland et al., showed a similar benefit from telemonitoring compared with telephone follow-up. Although the Domingo et al. study and the recent Chaudhury et al. study suggest that self-monitoring provides no added value, extended follow-up in the DIAL study, although by telephone, showed that adherence to weight self-monitoring was predictive of better evolution. However, the current Domingo et al. study
reported no added benefit for self-monitoring, probably due to the low adherence or absence of a specific intervention on adherence.

In conclusion, despite being a small study, this experience shows that it is feasible to implement heart failure programs using telemonitoring. It shows an additive effect to the optimized care of heart failure, despite failing to clarify the relative value of each type of intervention and the increased value of self-monitoring over telemonitoring. Considering the evidence available, several interventions that act on the most important factors of chronic disease can have beneficial effects in heart failure, even if administered in various forms. Several questions remain, however. For example, how does the adjustment of the type and intensity of these interventions affect each group of patients, or which strategy might be more cost-effective? However, the fact that such different interventions provide benefits, even over the best possible medical treatment, should lead us towards making outpatient chronic heart failure programs more widely available.
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