Evolution of Vegetation Size in Left-Sided Endocarditis. Is It a Prognostic Factor During Hospitalization?
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INTRODUCTION

The prognostic value of the size of the vegetation in patients with endocarditis and its "natural" course with medical treatment has not been studied. The latest guidelines 1,2 on endocarditis refer to these earlier studies, 3-5 in which the persistence of vegetation, in the absence of valve dysfunction, was not associated with late complications.

The objective of this report is to describe the changes in vegetation size in left-sided endocarditis and to evaluate its clinical and prognostic importance during the patient's hospital stay.

METHODS

We designed a multipurpose cohort study and prospectively included patients admitted to the hospital with a definitive diagnosis of endocarditis according to the Duke criteria. 6

Of all the episodes included, we selected the patients that met two criteria: a) left-sided endocarditis, and b) availability of two transesophageal echocardiograms (TEE) separated by at least 8 days, considering the first TEE as the diagnostic echocardiogram and the second as the last echocardiogram of the patient prior to hospital discharge, surgery or death.

Those patients who required emergency surgery or died during the first week after the diagnosis were excluded.

Of 683 episodes of endocarditis, 155 corresponding to 155 patients constituted our study group. Those patients whose first TEE did not reveal vegetation but whose second image did were included in the analysis.
To determine the degree of variability of the measurements, the observer was subjected to a reliability study, and an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.965 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.916-0.985) was obtained. 

The difference between the diameters measured in the two TEE was determined and the patients were classified according to three groups: I, patients with endocarditis whose vegetation increased in size by 3 mm or more (n = 34); II, patients whose vegetation was similar in both TEE, considering this to be the case when the difference between the two measurements was less than 3 mm (n = 62); and III, patients whose vegetation decreased in size by 3 mm or more (n = 59).

**RESULTS**

In the in-hospital follow-up of patients with endocarditis who did not require emergency surgery and did not die during the first week after the diagnosis, we observed that the vegetation increased in size in 21.9%, remained the same in 40% and diminished in 38.1%.

There were no significant differences in patient age, the existence of previous heart disease or the presence of comorbidity.

The most common clinical presentation consisted of fever and cardiac symptoms, with no significant differences. We found no differences in the etiologic distribution (Table 1), and the site of the infection was similar.

The mean interval between the two echocardiograms performed was: group I, 16 days (range: 12 to 33 days); group II, 24 days (14 to 37 days); and group III, 25 days (15 to 41 days) (P = .059).

The vegetation size in the baseline TEE was greatest in group III (group I, 0 mm [range: 0 to 14 mm]; group II, 8 mm [2 to 14 mm]; and group III, 14 mm [10 to 19 mm]). The size of the vegetation in the last TEE was greatest in the patients in group I (P = .001). The mean sizes were: group I, 14 mm (range: 10 to 24 mm); group II, 8 mm (0 to 13 mm); and group III, 4 mm (0 to 9 mm).

The patients had similar hospital stays: group I, 58 ± 37 days; group II, 50 ± 24 days; and group III, 55 ± 25 days.

During the course of the study, we found no differences in the development of heart failure, embolism, shock, perianular complications or persistent infection (Table 2).

Of the 34 patients in group I, 21 required surgery and 5 of these patients died. There were 6 deaths among the patients who did not require surgery.

The need for surgery was greater among the patients whose vegetation increased in size. The mean time elapsed between diagnosis and surgery was similar: group I, 19 days (range: 14 to 46.5 days); group II, 25 days (13 to 47 days); and group III, 32 days (17 to 63 days) (P = .6).

The mortality rate was higher in the patients whose vegetation increased in size. We observed no differences in the causes of death; the most frequent cause was heart failure.

To evaluate the relationship between the increase in vegetation size during the in-hospital follow-up and the variable death, we adjusted logistic regression models. We included the clinically relevant variables, that is, heart failure at any time during the course of the study, central nervous system embolism, septic shock, signs of persistent infection, Staphylococcus aureus as the causative agent, changes in the vegetation, presence of perianular complications and need for surgery. Finally, we adjusted for all the variables initially included.

In our study, the increase in vegetation size was independently associated with a higher rate of mortality with respect to the group in which the vegetation decreased in size: adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 4.12 (95% CI, 1.14-14.9; P = .031). This was not the case in patients whose vegetation remained stable: aOR = 2.07 (95% CI, 0.7-6.1; P = .186).

The need for surgery was greater among the group of patients whose vegetation size had a higher mortality rate than the group in which the vegetation had been reduced: aOR = 6.73 (95% CI, 1.37-33.12; P = .019).

Among the patients who did undergo surgery, the mortality rate in group I was not higher than that of group III: aOR = 1.65 (95% CI, 0.26-10.43; P = .595), nor was that of group II: aOR = 3.7 (95% CI, 0.7-19.4; P = .124) (Fig. 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the advances in the diagnosis of endocarditis and the improvements in both the medical and surgical treatment, infective endocarditis mortality continues to be very high, around 16% (11% to 26%).1,2,7-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group I (n = 34)</th>
<th>Group II (n = 62)</th>
<th>Group III (n = 59)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus bovis</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
<td>3 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus viridans</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
<td>12 (19.4)</td>
<td>6 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
<td>4 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other streptococci</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
<td>4 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
<td>7 (11.3)</td>
<td>10 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus</td>
<td>8 (23.5)</td>
<td>12 (19.4)</td>
<td>12 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacilli</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
<td>6 (9.7)</td>
<td>3 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACEK group</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic bacteria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymicrobial infection</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>4 (6.5)</td>
<td>7 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>4 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative cultures</td>
<td>7 (20.6)</td>
<td>9 (14.5)</td>
<td>6 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Data are expressed as n (%)
In every episode of endocarditis, the clinical course is influenced by the sum of one or more risk markers present at the time of the diagnosis,\textsuperscript{10,11} such as the baseline characteristics of the patient, the echocardiographic findings and microbiological factors.\textsuperscript{2,10}

Numerous studies have been carried out in the attempt to determine the importance of the presence and morphological characteristics of the vegetation in the prognosis of the endocarditis patient.\textsuperscript{3-5} Some of these studies have shown that the size of the vegetation at the time of admission is associated with a higher risk of embolism and that the size of the vegetation at the time of admission influenced by the sum of one or more risk markers present at the time of the diagnosis,\textsuperscript{10,11} such as the baseline characteristics of the patient, the echocardiographic findings and microbiological factors.\textsuperscript{2,10}

Few studies have investigated the change in the vegetation size and its possible relationship to the prognosis of the patient.\textsuperscript{3-5} Our objective was to determine whether the increment in the diameter of the vegetation resulted in a poorer in-hospital prognosis.

In this study, we observed that, after adjusting for all the variables having the greatest relevance in the prognosis of the patient with left-sided endocarditis, the patients whose vegetation increased in size had a greater need for surgery and a higher mortality rate. This probably indicates the lack of local control of the infection; however, due to the low statistical power of the sample, we have not been able to find a significant association between the increment in the vegetation and a higher rate of heart disease or periannular complications. Moreover, surgery was seen to modify the effect of the increase in vegetation size on mortality.

These results indicate that the prognosis of the patient during the course of endocarditis depends not only on the initial size of the vegetation, but also on the changes it undergoes throughout the disease. Thus, the treatment of endocarditis should be guided not only on the basis of the clinical course, but also by certain echocardiographic data, such as the change in vegetation size. We recommend the systematic use of TEE in patient follow-up to assess the changes in the size of the vegetation and the development of other complications of this disease.
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