Prognostic Implications of Asymmetric Morphology in Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: a Case Report

Implicaciones pronósticas de la morfología asimétrica en la implantación de prótesis aórticas transcatéter: a propósito de un caso

To the Editor,

Transcatheter implantation of aortic valve prostheses is being performed with increasing frequency in patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis who are at high surgical risk. Either a transfemoral or a transapical approach is employed. Complications related to the procedure are relatively uncommon, but they provide information that is very useful for broadening our knowledge of the pathophysiology of prosthesis dysfunction.

A 76-year-old man with severe aortic stenosis underwent transcatheter aortic valve implantation because of high surgical risk due to ischemic heart disease and severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Intraoperative transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) performed prior to the procedure revealed a severely calcified aortic valve, especially left coronary (Thebesian) valve; the ejection fraction was 42%. Balloon valvuloplasty was carried out, followed by implantation of a 26-mm SAPIEN valve (Edwards Lifesciences; Irvine, California, USA), performed without complications. Immediately after inflation, TEE confirmed that the aortic prosthesis was well positioned, with adequate valve mobility. However, probably due to the severe eccentric calcification, the prosthesis had an asymmetric morphology, with an oval shape and abnormal stretching of the valve, which was oriented along the major axis (Fig. 1). Despite this appearance, the results of the procedure were considered to be satisfactory because the prosthesis appeared to be functioning normally, with mild central and minimal paravalvular regurgitation. Initially, the patient progressed well and was extubated on the first day; however, the next day he developed acute pulmonary edema, with rapid clinical deterioration. An emergency echocardiogram revealed severe aortic regurgitation, and the patient underwent an emergency intervention involving extracorporeal surgery with implantation of a Perimount bioprosthesis (Edwards Lifesciences; Irvine, California, USA). The patient died of cardiogenic shock during the postoperative period. Visual inspection of the explanted SAPIEN valve showed an elliptical morphology with a major diameter of 27 mm and a minor diameter of 20 mm, measurements that agree with those made by means of TEE during the procedure (Fig. 2). Moreover, as documented with TEE, one of the valves was abnormally taut and elongated, with limited mobility.

The Edwards-SAPIEN valve is a prosthesis made of bovine pericardium mounted on an expandable stent that is placed in subcoronary position.9 Nine years after the first case in humans,2 favorable results have been reported for both the transfemoral and the transapical approach.3–5 The complete and symmetric expansion of the prosthesis in the aortic annulus is very important for its normal function and the aim should be to achieve this in every case. In fact, when the valve has a circular aspect, a success rate of 98% can be expected, whereas an oval morphology is associated with suboptimal function and durability.

In our case, the massive presence of eccentric calcium in the left coronary valve of the native valve presumably provoked abnormal stress in the anteroposterior direction and impeded uniform circular expansion. In fact, the major diameter of the prosthesis was greater than the nominal diameter, which indicates that the problem was not an insufficient inflation pressure, but the lack of deformability of the annulus in a given direction. The consequence was an abnormal tautness in the valve oriented along the major axis, which resulted in limited mobility, inadequate coaptation, and finally, severe aortic regurgitation. Although this aortic insufficiency was considered to be mild at the end of the procedure because of the narrow width of the jet, the abnormal geometry of the prosthesis may have been what caused the progression to severe regurgitation during the postoperative period.

Severe asymmetric valve calcification is a risk factor for incomplete expansion of the prosthesis and requires special attention to technique, even balloon oversizing. The failure to

Figure 1. Transesophageal echocardiogram immediately after prosthesis implantation. The measurements made from short axis views of aorta show a major diameter of 27 mm and a minor diameter of 20 mm, in agreement with the measurements made in the explanted prosthesis. Also note the asymmetrical appearance of the valves.

Figure 2. Measurements of the major diameter (A) and minor diameter (B) of the explanted prosthesis. Also note the asymmetrical appearance of the valves.
Impact of Adjunctive Cilostazol Therapy Versus High Maintenance Dose of Clopidogrel in Suboptimal Responders With Diabetes Mellitus

Impacto del tratamiento adyuvante con cilostazol comparado con dosis alta de mantenimiento de clopidogrel en pacientes con diabetes mellitus y respuesta subóptima

To the Editor,

Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus type 2 (T2DM) have a high prevalence of poor response to clopidogrel, which may contribute to their increased risk of recurrent atherothrombotic events.1 These findings underscore the need to optimize platelet inhibition in these patients.2 The OPTIMUS (Optimizing Antiplatelet Therapy in Diabetes Mellitus)-1 and -2 studies observed that a high cilostazol maintenance dose regimen (150 mg/day)3 and adjunctive cilostazol therapy (100 mg twice daily),4 respectively, were associated with greater platelet P2Y12 inhibition compared with standard clopidogrel therapy (75 mg/day) in T2DM patients. However, it is unknown which of these is more effective in inhibiting P2Y12 signaling in T2DM patients with suboptimal response to standard dosing. The aim of this investigation was to compare the magnitude of P2Y12 inhibitory effects of high maintenance dose cilopidogrel and adjunctive cilostazol therapy among T2DM patients with stable coronary artery disease presenting with suboptimal clopidogrel response.

This analysis includes subjects with suboptimal clopidogrel response, while on dual therapy with acetylsalicylic acid and clopidogrel 75 mg daily for at least 30 days, randomized in the OPTIMUS-1 and -2 trials. Details of the inclusion/exclusion criteria for the trials have previously been published.3,4 For the purpose of this analysis, patients from both studies with suboptimal response defined according to their P2Y12 reactivity index (PRI), the most specific marker of P2Y12 mediated signalling, were analyzed. PRI values were obtained with flow cytometric analysis of the status of phosphorylation of the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein according to standard protocols.3,4 A cut-off value of PRI >50% was considered to define suboptimal responders, which reflects a consensus definition as this has been associated with an increased risk of atherothrombotic events.1,2 Statistical comparison of PRI continuous values was conducted using a general linear model with treatment as a fixed effect, subject as a random effect, and baseline PRI value as a covariate. Results are reported as least squares mean ± standard error of the mean. Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test (according to application conditions) was used to compare the percentage of clopidogrel responders between treatments (dichotomous variable).

A total of 30 patients with suboptimal clopidogrel response treated with either adjunctive cilostazol therapy (n = 15) or high maintenance dose clopidogrel (n = 15) were identified. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between groups (data not shown). PRI values prior to treatment assignment were also similar (67.5 ± 2.1 vs 70.6 ± 2.8; P = .404).

Both treatments were effective in reducing PRI (P < .001 for both). However, patients treated with cilostazol had lower PRI compared with 150 mg clopidogrel (45.1 ± 3.1 vs 54.8 ± 3.1; P = .037; Fig. 1A). The absolute change in PRI was 24.0 ± 3.1 for cilostazol and 14.2 ± 3.1 for the high maintenance dose clopidogrel (P = .037), leading to an absolute 9.7% (confidence interval 95%; 0.7%–18.9%) greater decrease in PRI with cilostazol (Fig. 1B). Accordingly, the prevalence of suboptimal responders was also significantly lower using cilostazol (20% vs 66.7%; P = .010; Fig. 1C).

The present investigation shows that among T2DM patients with poor response to standard dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), the adjunctive use of cilostazol [also known as “triple therapy”] is associated with a greater magnitude of P2Y12 inhibitory effects compared with high maintenance dose clopidogrel. Importantly, levels of platelet reactivity and the prevalence of suboptimal responders are markedly lower with triple therapy. This may explain why adjunctive cilostazol therapy is more effective than DAPT in reducing atherothrombotic events, particularly in patients with DM.2 On the contrary, high maintenance dose clopidogrel is still associated with a high prevalence of poor responders, which may also explain
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