Primary Angioplasty in Northern Galicia: Care Changes and Results Following Implementation of the PROGALIAM Protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: To analyze changes in healthcare delivery and results for primary angioplasty at Centro Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña following implementation of the PROGALIAM protocol.

Methods: Observational registry of 1434 patients referred for primary angioplasty between 2003 and 2007. Results under PROGALIAM (May 2005 – December 2007; n=963) were compared with those from the preceding period (January 2003 – April 2005; n=388).

Results: After implementing PROGALIAM, there were increases in the number of primary angioplasty procedures (preceding period, 14.4 cases/month; PROGALIAM, 32.2 cases/month), mean patient age (preceding period, 61.3 (11.9) years; PROGALIAM, 64.2 (11.7) years; P<.001), and the percentage of patients referred from peripheral hospitals and treated after normal working hours. Overall median first medical contact-to-balloon time decreased significantly among patients referred from noninterventional centers (previous period, 171 min; PROGALIAM, 146 min; P<.001). Percentage of cases with an first medical contact-to-balloon time <120 min remained unchanged among interventional-center patients (preceding period, 69%; PROGALIAM, 71%; P=.56) and increased among patients at noninterventional centers, although it remained low in this subgroup (preceding period, 17%; PROGALIAM, 30%; P=.04). Thirty-day mortality (preceding period, 5.2%; PROGALIAM, 6.2%; P=.85) and 1-year mortality (preceding period, 9.5%; PROGALIAM, 10.2%; P=.96) remained unchanged.

Conclusions: Implementation of PROGALIAM allowed us to increase the percentage of patients receiving primary angioplasty without jeopardizing the clinical results of this treatment.

© 2011 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Angioplastia primaria en el Área Norte de Galicia: cambios asistenciales y resultados tras la implantación del programa PROGALIAM

RESUMEN

Introducción y objetivos: Analizar los resultados y cambios asistenciales del programa de angioplastia primaria del Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña tras iniciar el Programa Gallego de Atención al Infarto de Miocardio (PROGALIAM).


Resultados: Tras iniciar el PROGALIAM, aumentó el número de casos de angioplastia primaria (etapa previa, 14.4 casos/mes; PROGALIAM, 32.2 casos/mes), la media de edad (etapa previa, 61.3 ± 11.9 años; PROGALIAM, 64.2 ± 11.7 años; p<.001) y la proporción de pacientes procedentes de hospitales periféricos y pacientes tratados fuera del horario laboral. La mediana de retraso primer contacto-balón se incrementó en el conjunto del programa (etapa previa, 106 min; etapa PROGALIAM, 113 min; p=.02), pero se redujo entre los pacientes procedentes de centros secundarios (etapa previa, 171 min; etapa PROGALIAM, 146 min;
p < 0.001). La proporción de casos con retraso primer contacto-balón < 120 min permaneció invariable entre los pacientes del centro intervencionista (etapa previa, 69%; etapa PROGALIAM, 71%; p = 0.56) y se incrementó entre los pacientes de centros periféricos, si bien en este subgrupo continuó siendo baja (etapa previa, 17%; etapa PROGALIAM, 30%; p = 0.04). La mortalidad a 30 días (etapa previa, 5.2%; etapa PROGALIAM, 6.2%; p = 0.85) y a 1 año (etapa previa, 9.5%; etapa PROGALIAM, 10.2%; p = 0.96) fue similar en ambas etapas.

**Conclusions:** El PROGALIAM ha permitido incrementar la proporción de pacientes tratados con angioplastia primaria manteniendo los resultados de esta terapia.

© 2011 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.
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**Abbreviations**

CHUAC: Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña (A Coruña University Hospital)
EMS-061: Emergency Medical Service, “dial 061”
FMC-B: first medical contact-to-balloon time
PA: primary angioplasty
PROGALIAM: Programa Gallego de Atención al Infarto Agudo de Miocardio (Galician Program for Acute Myocardial Infarction Care)
STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Primary angioplasty (PA) is the treatment of choice in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) as it has been shown to decrease mortality compared to fibrinolysis. Recently, a number of health care networks have been set up with a view to providing PA to a larger percentage of patients. One such example is the Programa Gallego de Atención al Infarto Agudo de Miocardio or PROGALIAM (Galician Program for Acute Myocardial Infarction Care).

The aim of this study is to describe and compare care and results from the PA network in the Northern Galicia Area before and after implementing PROGALIAM.

**METHODS**

**Study Context**

The Hemodynamics Unit at Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña (CHUAC, A Coruña University Hospital) provides permanent PA service for Northern Galicia Area, an area with a population of 1 million and 4 noninterventional hospitals (Fig. 1). Since May 2005, this activity has taken place within PROGALIAM, a publicly funded initiative of the Servicio Gallego de Salud (Galician Health Service) that aims to extend PA coverage to all patients in Galicia. In order to achieve its objectives, the program optimized the efficiency of its existing health resources by organizing an integrated hub and spoke network instead of increasing the resources dedicated to patients with acute myocardial infarction. The PROGALIAM protocol also includes the activity of the other two Galician hospitals offering PA (Central and Southern Areas); those results are not presented in this article.

**Study Description**

A prospective record was kept of all patients referred to CHUAC for PA between January 2003 and December 2007. An electronic form with demographic, clinical, and care information was completed for each patient. Vital status information was drawn from the SERGAS mortality register. The PROGALIAM results (May 2005 to December 2007) were compared to those gathered during the previous period (January 2003 to April 2005).

**Changes in Care Under PROGALIAM**

Launching PROGALIAM entailed implementing several changes in the STEMI care protocol used in our area (Table 1).

**Generalizing Primary Angioplasty**

Before implementation of the new program, PA was the treatment of choice for all patients in the CHUAC district with STEMI at <12 h from onset. It was only considered for patients from other hospitals if fibrinolysis was contraindicated or if the patient was in cardiogenic shock.

Under PROGALIAM, the indication for PA was expanded to include all in-hospital or out-of-hospital patients in the Northern Galicia Area suffering from STEMI at <12 h from onset at time of diagnosis, with the following specifications:

- Fibrinolysis could be performed for patients suffering from STEMI at <2 h from onset and if the estimated time to PA was >110 min.
- PA is preferable for patients suffering from STEMI at >3 h from onset.
- PA may be considered in patients suffering from STEMI at >12 h from onset in the presence of electrocardiographic signs and signs that point toward persistent ischemia.

![Figure 1. Regional primary angioplasty network for the Northern Galicia Area. The white frames show the distances and estimated transport times between peripheral hospitals and the interventional hospital. Ambulance-shaped icons show the bases for the four Emergency Medical Service, “dial 061” mobile intensive care units available in the area. CHUAC, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña.](image-url)
Table 1
Care Modifications to the Primary Angioplasty Protocol for the Northern Galicia Area Following Implementation of PROGALIAM in May 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication of primary angioplasty</th>
<th>Previous Program (January 2003–April 2005)</th>
<th>PROGALIAM (May 2005–December 2007)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organisation of interhospital transport</td>
<td>First choice for CHUAC patients; selected cases from other centers</td>
<td>Recommended for all patients meeting eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct transfer from outside a hospital</td>
<td>Varied according to available resources</td>
<td>All transport in EMS-061 ambulances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abciximab use</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Available (in EMS-061 ambulances)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay following procedure</td>
<td>Administered in haemodynamics lab at interventional cardiologist’s discretion</td>
<td>Administered during transport at lead doctor’s discretion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHUAC, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña; EMS-061, Emergency Medical Service, “dial 061”; PROGALIAM, Programa Gallego de Atención al Infarto Agudo de Miocardio (Galician Program for Acute Myocardial Infarction Care).

Interhospital Transfer

Under the PROGALIAM protocol, all interhospital transfers are made in life-support ambulances pertaining to EMS-061 (Servicio de Emergencias Extrahospitalarias 061, Emergency Medical Service, “dial 061”). These ambulances transport patients directly from the referring center’s emergency department to the CHUAC Hemodynamics Laboratory. Before PROGALIAM, the way transport was organised and the ambulance type varied according to availability.

Direct Transport From Other Hospitals

PROGALIAM follows a protocol according to which patients meeting criteria for PA who are picked up at an out-of-hospital location by EMS-061 medical ambulances may be transported directly to the CHUAC Hemodynamics Laboratory, regardless of their designated local hospital. In such cases, the EMS-061 doctor will be responsible for telephoning to activate the intervention team. This protocol also applies to STEMI patients diagnosed at a primary care center when a family doctor requests immediate EMS-061 assistance.

Early Administration of Abciximab

The PROGALIAM protocol in force between 2005 and 2007 recommended early administration of abciximab, whether in the emergency department or in the EMS-061 ambulance, to all patients in the absence of contraindication (0.25 mg/kg intravenous bolus + continuous infusion of 0.125 mg/kg during 12 h). This recommendation was recently modified in light of currently available scientific evidence. The strategy of generalized upstream administration of abciximab is no longer employed. Prior to PROGALIAM, abciximab was administered in selected cases in the hemodynamics laboratory, at the hemodynamics specialist’s discretion.

Variables

The “symptom onset time” variable was defined as the date and time when STEMI symptoms began as reported by the patient and/or the patient’s companions. The time variable “first contact” was defined as the date and time of the patient’s first contact with health professionals—hospital emergency services or EMS-061 medical ambulances—which led to activation of the intervention team. The time variable “activation” was defined as the date and time the on-call hemodynamics specialist was notified. The time variable “balloon” was defined as the date and time of the first attempt at inflating an angioplasty balloon or releasing a coronary stent in the lesion responsible for STEMI. Using these variables, we calculated the symptom-to-contact, contact-to-activation, first medical contact-to-balloon time (FMC-B), activation-to-balloon and symptom-to-balloon times. Angiographic success was defined as a post-angioplasty TIMI 3 flow and residual stenosis <20% in the artery that caused STEMI. The time variable “after normal working hours” was defined as weekdays between 15.00 and 8.00, Saturdays, Sundays and public holidays.

Statistical Methods

Categorical variables are given as percentages and continuous variables as mean (standard deviation). Comparisons between groups were done with chi-square and Student-t tests and ANOVA. Due to their asymmetrical distribution, variables relative to delays are presented as median [interquartile range] and compared using the Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests. The statistical significance level was established at P<.05.

Independent predictors of mortality at 30 days and 1 year were identified using stepwise logistic regression analysis (P-out criterion >0.10) including the following variables: age, sex, symptom-to-balloon time, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, angiographic success, previous myocardial infarction, referral by noninterventional hospitals, infarction location, Killip class IV, multivessel disease and treatment with abciximab. Final models were used to compare adjusted mortality at 30 days and 1 year for patients treated during the PROGALIAM period and the preceding...
period, those treated in and after normal working hours, and those whose FMC-B was greater than or less than 120 min.

A second stepwise multivariable logistic regression analysis (P-out criterion >0.10) enabled us to identify variables associated with FMC-B>120 min. The selected variables were the following: age, sex, left bundle-branch block, symptom-to-first-contact time, Killip class IV, after normal working hours, referral from noninterventional hospitals, direct transport by EMS-061, vascular access procedure, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, infarction location, previous myocardial infarction, previous angioplasty, and previous coronary artery surgery. All analyses were performed using PASW version 18.0.

RESULTS

Activity

Between 2003 and 2007, 1434 patients with suspected STEMI were referred to CHUAC to undergo PA, and all underwent at least one diagnostic coronary angiography. Following the implementation of PROGALIAM in May 2005, there was a significant increase in the number of cases (previous protocol, n=402, 14.4 cases/month; PROGALIAM, n=1032, 32.3 cases/month) (Fig. 2), accompanied by an increase in the percentage of “false alarms”, that is, patients admitted with a diagnosis other than STEMI (previous protocol, 3.5%; PROGALIAM, 6.7%; P=.02). These “false alarms” (n=83) were excluded from the analysis, and the study population therefore consisted of 1351 patients (previous protocol, n=388; PROGALIAM, n=963).

Clinical Characteristics

After implementation of PROGALIAM, both the mean age of the treated population and the percentage of patients referred by secondary hospitals increased (Table 2). Most of the PAs performed under PROGALIAM corresponded to inferior STEMI, while anterior STEMI was more common under the previous program.

Procedures

Following the implementation of PROGALIAM, the percentage of PAs performed after normal working hours increased. Treatment with abciximab and radial vascular access were used more often in the PROGALIAM period (Table 3). Angiographic success was obtained in 86.8% of patients treated during the previous program, and in 91% of patients treated within the framework of PROGALIAM (P=.02).

Reperfusion Time

Throughout the Northern Galicia Area, implementation of PROGALIAM led to an increase in the median FMC-B (previous program, 106 min; PROGALIAM, 113 min; P=.02) (Table 4). However, in the subgroup of patients referred by noninterventional centers, the median decreased significantly (previous program, 171 min; PROGALIAM, 146 min; P=.01) due to reducing the activation-to-balloon time (medians: previous program, 130 min; PROGALIAM, 115 min; P=.001). In this subgroup, the percentage of patients with a FMC-B>120 min increased significantly (previous program, 17.2%; PROGALIAM, 29.7%; P=.04).

For the CHUAC patient subgroup, implementing the new protocol did not significantly affect FMC-B (medians: previous program, 94 min; PROGALIAM, 92 min; P=.74). In this subgroup, the percentage of patients with a FMC-B>120 min was 69.3% during the previous program and 71.3% under PROGALIAM (P=.56).

Table 5 shows the factors associated with FMC-B>120 min. The strongest predictive factor for a delay>120 min was referral from a...
Table 3
Characteristics of Interventional Procedure in Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous program (n=388)</th>
<th>PROGRALIAM (n=963)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working hours</td>
<td>133 (34)</td>
<td>234 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After normal working</td>
<td>255 (66)</td>
<td>729 (75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral artery</td>
<td>286 (74)</td>
<td>281 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial artery</td>
<td>102 (26)</td>
<td>682 (71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with abciximab</td>
<td>144 (37)</td>
<td>606 (63)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main coronary artery</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
<td>41 (4)</td>
<td>.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior descending</td>
<td>246 (63)</td>
<td>623 (65)</td>
<td>.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right coronary</td>
<td>210 (54)</td>
<td>577 (60)</td>
<td>.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumflex</td>
<td>145 (37)</td>
<td>362 (38)</td>
<td>.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary disease &gt;1 vessel</td>
<td>180 (46)</td>
<td>466 (48)</td>
<td>.510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Treatment Delay for Patients Receiving Primary Angioplasty During Either of the Periods Under Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CHUAC</th>
<th>Noninterventional hospitals</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous program (n=315)</td>
<td>PROGRALIAM (n=554)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom-to-first-contact</td>
<td>99 (117)</td>
<td>112 (145)</td>
<td>.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First contact-to-activation</td>
<td>24 (38)</td>
<td>23 (38)</td>
<td>.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation-to-balloon</td>
<td>63 (27)</td>
<td>63 (29)</td>
<td>.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First medical contact-to-balloon</td>
<td>94 (56)</td>
<td>92 (53)</td>
<td>.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom-to-balloon</td>
<td>210 (145)</td>
<td>214 (177)</td>
<td>.360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHUAC, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña; PROGRALIAM, Programa Gallego de Atención al Infarto Agudo de Miocardio (Galician Program for Acute Myocardial Infarction Care).

Table 5
Factors Associated With a Delay >120 min for First Medical Contact-to-Balloontime: Multivariate Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After normal working hours</td>
<td>1.48 (1.09-2.02)</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct transport from other hospitals</td>
<td>0.15 (0.01-0.23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from noninterventional hospital</td>
<td>10.6 (7.7-14.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left bundle-branch block</td>
<td>2.83 (1.07-7.52)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.50 (1.06-2.14)</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom-to-first-contact time (10 min)</td>
<td>1.008 (1.001-1.016)</td>
<td>.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.017 (1.006-1.028)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Average Length of Stay

Following implementation of PROGRALIAM, we observed a decrease in average length of stay at the interventional center for patients referred from other medical districts. Likewise, the percentage of patients who returned to their designated local center to continue hospitalization after undergoing PA increased. The mean length of stay for patients from the CHUAC medical district remained unchanged (Fig. 3).

Prognosis

We did not observe significant differences between the two programs in mortality rates at 30 days or at 1 year; the prognosis was particularly poor for patients in cardiogenic shock (Fig. 4). Patients from noninterventional hospitals presented lower 30-day mortality rates than CHUAC patients (4.1% vs 6.9%; P=.04), with no differences between the two groups in 1-year mortality (8.5% vs 10.2%; P=.18). We observed a strong correlation between procedure results and 30-day mortality (angiographic success, 3.4%; no success, 20.5%; P<.001) and at 1 year (angiographic success, 7.3%; no success, 30.3%; P<.001).

Multivariate analysis showed an independent correlation for age, symptom-to-balloon time, presentation with cardiogenic shock, and angiographic success with 30-day and 1-year mortality rates (Table 6). After adjusting for those variables, we did not observe that the program under which the procedure was performed (PROGRALIAM or the previous program) had an independent influence on mortality at 30 days (OR=1.1; 95%CI, 0.6-2.2) or at 1 year (OR=1; 95%CI, 0.5-1.5).

Prognostic Influence of the Time of Day

Patients treated with PA after normal working hours were younger (63 [12.7] vs 64.5 [12.2] years of age; P=.49); more likely to be referred from a noninterventional hospital (37.5% vs 31%; P=.03); more likely to receive abciximab (59.6% vs 45.4%; P<.001); and had a longer median FMC-B (113 min vs 99 min; P<.001) and
symptom-to-balloon time (240 min vs 213 min; \( P=0.01 \)) than patients treated during working hours. We did not observe differences between the two groups with regard to angiographic success rates (working hours, 91.1%; after normal working hours, 89.4%; \( P = 0.37 \)), 30-day mortality (working hours, 6.7%; after normal working hours 5.5%; \( P = 0.39 \)) or 1-year mortality (working hours, 11.9%; after normal working hours 9.2%; \( P = 0.14 \)). Following adjustment for the variables listed in Table 6, we found no significant influence of the variable “after normal working hours” on 30-day mortality (OR=0.93; 95%CI, 0.49-1.75) or 1-year mortality (OR=0.81; 95%CI, 0.51-1.29).

Prognostic Influence of Delay Time

As shown in Figure 5, univariate analysis shows a significant association between FMC-B and symptom-to-balloon time with angiographic success rates and 30-day and 1-year mortality rates. After adjusting for the variables listed in Table 6, the association between FMC-B>120 min, 30-day mortality (OR=1.41; 95%CI, 0.79-2.52; \( P = 0.24 \)) and 1-year mortality (OR=1.47; 95%CI, 0.96-2.25; \( P = 0.08 \)) lost statistical significance.

DISCUSSION

The most immediate consequence of a program to generalize PA is the increase in the number of patients receiving treatment, and therefore in the workload. In our center, implementing PROGALIAM doubled the number of procedures performed by

---

**Table 6**
Factors Associated With Mortality at 30 Days and 1 Year of the Procedure: Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>30 days</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>1.06 (1.03-1.09)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiographic success</td>
<td>0.34 (0.17-0.68)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>14.1 (7.1-28.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom-to-balloon time</td>
<td>1.08 (1.01-1.16)</td>
<td>.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.06 (1.04-1.08)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiographic success</td>
<td>0.28 (0.17-0.48)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>7.7 (4.1-14.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.57 (0.95-2.60)</td>
<td>.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom-to-balloon time</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.11)</td>
<td>.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95%CI, 95% confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
increasing activity after normal working hours. In order to deliver the desired results, these programs must rely on highly qualified, dedicated professionals who understand that the foreseeable “decrease in their own quality of life” will provide important benefits to their patients. This is obviously true for members of the interventional cardiology team (doctors and other staff), but the new program will have an impact, be it large or small, on all professionals involved in the process. It is therefore important to reach agreements regarding the terms of the program during the planning stage (goals, compensation, on-call schedules, etc.).

In our case, the increase in PA activity was due to extending treatment to a less selected, older population with a higher percentage of female patients, longer times from symptom onset, and longer transport distances. As a whole, PROGALIAM successfully maintained 30-day and 1-year mortality rates similar to those from the previous program and from other reference networks. These results are based on the high rate of angiographic success, considering the well-known association between that parameter and survival in patients treated with PA. Generalized use of abciximab may have contributed to this result, since it has been demonstrated that in the context of PA this drug improves the effectiveness of mechanical reperfusion and reduces morbidity and mortality. Other factors that may have had an impact on the results are the combined experience of the intervention team, improved techniques in the field of PA, or extending this treatment to patients at lower risk (for example, inferior STEMI). We should point out that, unlike other groups, we did not observe differences in program results correlating to the time of day when the procedure was undertaken or the hospital referring the patient. This reflects uniform and equitable health care services.

One interesting aspect of our study was discovering that, in a real-world care setting, patients treated with PA often have a longer FMC-B than that recommended by clinical practice guidelines. This tendency is more marked in the group of patients referred by noninterventional hospitals, as less than one-third of these patients receive treatment within the target FMC-B of <120 min recommended by clinical practice guidelines. This variable is a strong predictor of mortality in patients treated with PA, regardless of symptom-to-first-contact time and total ischemia time. The authors are aware that long distances separate peripheral health centers and the interventional hospital in this geographic area; measures aimed at reducing FMC-B are absolutely necessary and a top priority for the program.

However, we must stress that launching the new program has indeed led to a modest but statistically significant improvement of some 25 min in overall FMC-B for patients transported...
from peripheral centers. We attribute this improvement to implementing interhospital transport and direct transport protocols (EMS-061 mobile units). A previous analysis\(^\text{12}\) by our group showed that the latter strategy is associated with a significant decrease in FMC-B and early mortality, compared with the conventional practice where only emergency departments may alert the intervention team. We believe that implementing new organizational care measures may contribute to further improvements in the future. One example that might be followed is the D2B Alliance initiative\(^\text{13}\) under which simultaneous use of several medical strategies—emergency service doctors or EMS staff alerting the intervention team, commitment by the intervention team to be ready in ≤30 min, ability to activate the entire team by means of a single telephone call, real time information feedback—led to 3 out of 4 patients being treated with a FMC-B≤90 min. However, we must not lose sight of the fact that these strategies involve transferring the responsibility of activating the intervention team to noncardiologists. For this reason, one possible adverse result may be an increase in “false alarms.” Our experience supports this impression, as presented in detail in a previous study\(^\text{14}\) published in this journal.

We have identified several factors associated with a significant increase in FMC-B in our cohort. Some of these factors are inherent in the health care system, such as the need to transfer a patient to another hospital. The strongest predictive factor for a FMC-B≤120 min in our study—or occurrence after normal working hours,\(^\text{15}\) when mobilizing the intervention group contributes to the delay because members are not physically present at the hospital. Certain factors inherent in the patient, such as advanced age,\(^\text{16}\) female sex,\(^\text{16}\) or left bundle-branch block may also contribute to increase FMC-B due to making diagnosis more difficult. The observed correlation between symptom-to-first-contact time and FMC-B is harder to understand. Hypothetically, prolonged symptom-to-first-contact time may reflect an atypical clinical presentation that does not induce the patient to seek medical care and raises questions in the mind of the primary doctor. This complicates diagnosis of the condition and timely activation of the hemodynamics laboratory. It is also possible that some patients who seek medical attention for STEMI that has been evolving for several hours only show discrete, residual displacement of the ST-segment. This would lead a doctor to question whether or not a PA would be beneficial in such a case, and therefore affects the motivation to reduce delay.

One important aspect of planning a regional PA program is designing measures to prevent excessive occupation of hospital beds in the interventional center. This is the main objective of the PROGALIAM protocol for returning stable patients to their designated medical centers. A preliminary analysis by our group shows that this strategy is feasible and safe, and allows more than 70% of patients referred from secondary centers to return to those centers.\(^\text{17}\) The progressive decrease in the average length of stay in the interventional center for patients from peripheral areas is a direct consequence of that measure.

The lack of external monitoring is the study’s main limitation. In addition, the lack of information relative to patients not receiving PA prevents us from demonstrating PROGALIAM’s beneficial effect on the overall survival of STEMI patients in our area of reference. However, we should point out that the main purpose of this study is to describe the changes and medical difficulties that arose following implementation of a generalized PA program, from the point of view of an interventional cardiology unit, so that other groups might learn from our experience when planning similar new initiatives. We should also recall that this study only offers information regarding PROGALIAM results in Northern Galicia Area. Its conclusions might not be extrapolated to other areas of Spain or even to any other Galician interventional hospitals that also participated in the program.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Analysis of our PA program’s activities between 2003 and 2007 shows that designing a regional hub-and-spoke medical network for STEMI makes it possible to significantly increase the number of patients benefiting from this treatment without compromising results or substantially increasing the medical resources provided for this activity. Drawing up a detailed plan that accounts for the expected increase in interventional activity and potential changes in the clinical profile of the treated population is absolutely necessary. The plan must account for the medical resources needed to obtain a high angiographic success rate, keep reperfusion delay within the recommended limits, minimize the proportional increase in “false alarms”, and avoid overloading hospital beds in the interventional center.
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