Subcutaneous Infusion of Furosemide Administered by Elasticomeric Pumps for Decompensated Heart Failure Treatment: Initial Experience

Tratamiento de la insuficiencia cardíaca descompensada con furosemida subcutánea mediante bombas elastoméricas: experiencia inicial

To the Editor,

In Spain, the prevalence of heart failure (HF) exceeds 15% among the elderly.1 Managing HF consumes 1% to 2% of the healthcare budget and hospitalizations consume two-thirds of this expenditure.2 Diuretics are the main treatment and furosemide is the most commonly used drug. Its action may be decreased by multiple factors, leading to the need for intravenous infusion3 and often involving hospitalization. Experience in the use of subcutaneous (s.c.) furosemide is scarce and the systems most frequently used are dependent on health care personnel.3 Elasticomeric infusion pumps are nonelectric, disposable, continuous-flow pumps that are widely used in antimicrobial therapy, analgesia, and cancer treatment.5 They are little used in HF, but could be an alternative diuretic treatment for patients with decompensated HF. We describe the response to treatment of a series of ambulatory HF patients with indications for parental diuretic therapy treated with s.c. furosemide using elasticomeric pumps.

Between December 2010 and December 2011, s.c. furosemide was administered in the Heart Failure Unit (University Hospital, Valladolid, Spain) to resolve 41 episodes in 24 patients with decompensated Heart Failure. We included 65 clinical and laboratory variables. Patients who did not meet the criteria for parental administration were excluded.

Continuous s.c. furosemide was administered by elasticomeric pump in an outpatient setting. Treatment was given for 4 or 5 days (96 mL at 1 mL/h or 240 mL at 2 mL/h) depending on pump volume and preset flow rate. The pumps were connected to a catheter (Abbocath 20–22G) implanted subcutaneously in chest or abdominal tissue (Figure A). The catheter was maintained indefinitely in the absence of complications. The daily dose was calculated at the discretion of the prescribing physician and administered in dextrose 5%. Patients were monitored in the HF Unit every 5 to 7 days. Blood was collected at baseline and at the end of treatment. The

Figure. A, infusion pump, components, and subcutaneous implantation technique (1, infusion pump; 2, elasticomeric reservoir with furosemide in dextrose 5%; 3, flow controller; 4, extension; 5, plastic catheter implanted subcutaneously in chest tissue; 6, transparent patch). B, variations (Δ) in weight and serum creatinine, potassium, and sodium at the beginning and end of treatment with a confidence interval of 95% (95%CI). Significant weight loss was observed.
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effectiveness of treatment was assessed by weight loss. “End of treatment” was achieved when functional class improved or dry weight was maintained without parenteral diuretic therapy.

A descriptive analysis was conducted and the normality of distribution of the variables tested using the Shapiro-Wilks test. The Student t test was used for normal variables and the Wilcoxon test for non-normal variables using the SPSS® V18 software package (SPSS Inc.).

Mean age was 75 (10) years, 79% were male, and 93% were in an advanced functional class at baseline. The Table shows the characteristics of the population.

The average time of treatment was 9 (4) days. In addition to s.c. furosemide, in 39% of the episodes oral furosemide was maintained to avoid changing chronic treatment. The average total dose during therapy was 179 (48) mg/d and the mean subcutaneous infusion dose was 146 (40) mg/d. The typical initial infusion dose was 120 mg/d (56%). There was significant weight loss, but no significant changes in serum creatinine, potassium, and sodium concentrations (Table; Figure, B). There was one case of hypokalemia (serum potassium level <3 mEq/L). Functional class improved in 61% of patients, did not change in 36%, and worsened in 3%. There were no treatment–related deaths. Hospital admission or interruption of treatment was required in 17%. The Table shows their cause as well as local adverse effects.

We present the largest series of patients with decompensated HF treated with continuous s.c. furosemide administered by elasto-meric infusion pumps. This route is usually restricted to terminally ill patients at home.4 Elastomeric infusion pumps provide safe comprehensive outpatient treatment without the need for daily monitoring, thus allowing scheduled examinations. It is well tolerated, effective in preventing hospital admissions, and decreases costs due to the low price of the devices (about 30 euros per device).

The clinical profile of this sample of elderly patients, who were in an advanced functional class and with serious comorbidity, did not hinder the response to treatment; significant weight loss prevented a possible hospital admission in 83% of the sample.

The administration of s.c. furosemide by electric infusion pump has been shown to be effective in patients who are terminally ill or have decompensated HF,3 as assessed by weight loss and improvement in symptoms, although safety data remain unknown. Unlike elastomeric pumps, electronic pumps present some disadvantages such as limited patient autonomy, increased noise levels, the need for maintenance, and dependence on health care personnel.

The use of s.c. furosemide in HF patients could solve some of the typical problems that arise when treating these patients: venipuncture failure, intravenous catheter infection, failure to adhere to treatment, repeat admissions, and the inability to wean patients from intravenous diuretics. This implantation route is accessible in 100% of patients, presents no technical difficulties, and is not painful; the device is simple and comfortable to transport, facilitates toileting, and allows patient mobility.

Local adverse effects are common with this route,6 but are of little clinical importance. In our series, there was only 1 case of s.c. abscess that needed drainage. These reactions can be avoided by the use of plastic catheters, aseptic procedures, and a good implantation technique.

Although this study is limited by the small number of episodes, it demonstrates the efficacy and safety of the use of continuous s.c. infusion of furosemide to treat ambulatory patients with decompensated HF.
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Impact of Assigning Heart Failure as the Underlying Cause of Death on the Calculation of Premature Mortality Due to Cardiovascular Disease in Spain

Impacto de la asignación de la insuficiencia cardiaca como causa básica de defunción en el cálculo de la mortalidad prematura cardiovascular en España

To the Editor,

The description and detailed evaluation of the extent and distribution of diseases, while recognizing their specific epidemiological and etiological characteristics, are important for establishing strategies to improve the health of the general population.1,2 Disease burden measures the health losses in the population that represent the fatal and nonfatal consequences of diseases and their risk factors. Specifically, the Global Burden of Disease study was the first to establish a standardized measure for measuring premature mortality (years of life lost [YLL], a component of the synthetic indicator disability-adjusted life-years, which allows assessment of changes in the health of the general population.1–3 We used a classification of disease burden based on an etiological perspective, grouping the codes of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) into different groups and categories in order to study disease burden. From this perspective, within the category of cardiovascular disease, heart failure (HF) as an underlying cause of death is included in the so-called garbage codes or ill-defined codes.1,4

Applying the methods described in the Global Burden of Disease study, we quantified the potential impact of deaths coded as HF on calculation of YLL due to cardiovascular disease in 2008 in Spain. Mortality data were obtained from anonymized microdata files from the Spanish National Institute of Statistics for deaths coded to ICD-10 codes: I50 (I50.0, I50.1, and I50.9). The denominators were obtained from the current population estimates published by the Spanish National Institute of Statistics. Proportional reallocation was conducted by age and sex for deaths assigned to the ill-defined codes group (ICD-10, group R). The YLL attributed to HF were calculated by age and sex groups taking the Princeton West model life table (level 26 modified) as the reference.1 Social values (age-weighted [κ=1] and the discount rate [3%]) were included, as proposed in this methodology.1 All calculations were performed using the GesMor software package.

In 2008, there were 126,252 deaths from cardiovascular diseases, of which 17% were attributed to HF (5% of total deaths in Spain). The crude mortality rate due to HF was 46/100,000 (31.6 men and 60.0 women) and rate adjusted to the standard European population was 23.6/100,000 (22.6 men and 23.3 women). Of the total YLL due to cardiovascular disease (504,091), 12% (60,339) were attributed to HF (9% men and 16% women). The proportion of YLL assigned to HF in relation to all cardiovascular diseases increased with age and was higher among women than men in all the age groups studied, reaching 24% of women ≥85 years (Table).

The proportion of deaths due to cardiovascular causes assigned to HF in Spain is higher than that observed in other countries such as the United States.5–7

Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups, years</th>
<th>Heart failure</th>
<th>Cardiovascular disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>YLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>452 (2)</td>
<td>8219 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>193 (17)</td>
<td>1965 (174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>253 (28)</td>
<td>2806 (334)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>491 (59)</td>
<td>3679 (516)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>920 (129)</td>
<td>2156 (331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>1516 (331)</td>
<td>4059 (887)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>3291 (1099)</td>
<td>4192 (1400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>7115 (32)</td>
<td>26,890 (119)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YLL, years of life lost.

Data are expressed as No. (rate per 100,000 population).
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