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ABSTRACT

This report discusses a selection of the most relevant articles on cardiac arrhythmias and pacing published in 2013. The first section discusses arrhythmias, classified as regular paroxysmal supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, atrial fibrillation, and ventricular arrhythmias, together with their treatment by means of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator. The next section reviews cardiac pacing, subdivided into resynchronization therapy, remote monitoring of implantable devices, and pacemakers. The final section discusses syncope.

© 2013 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Novedades en arritmias y estimulación cardiaca en 2013

RESUMEN

En este trabajo se comentan críticamente los artículos sobre arritmias y estimulación cardiaca aparecidos en 2013 seleccionados como más relevantes. Se repasan las arritmias, subdivididas en taquiarritmias supraventriculares regulares, fibrilación auricular y arritmias ventriculares, incluido su tratamiento mediante desfibrilador automático implantable. Se revisan las publicaciones referidas a estimulación cardiaca, subdivididas en terapia de resincronización, monitorización a domicilio de dispositivos implantables y marcapasos. Finalmente, se trata el síncope.

© 2013 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Abbreviations

AF: atrial fibrillation
CRT: cardiac resynchronization therapy
HF: heart failure
ICD: implantable cardioverter defibrillator
RM: remote monitoring
RV: right ventricular

INTRODUCTION

The number of articles published each year in this discipline is so colossal that any attempt to summarize them might even prove to be foolhardy. Therefore, the reader should consider the present article as a mere outline of a selection of the relevant information published in this field.

PAROXYSMAL SUPRAVENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIAS AND ATRIAL FLUTTER

There are 2 interesting reports that take fuller advantage of the information obtained from the surface electrocardiogram. González-Torrejilla et al1 differentiate preexcitation syndromes with strictly para-Hisian accessory pathways from other anteroseptal or midseptal pathways and show that the sensitivity of the negative delta wave in leads V1-V2 for the identification of these accessory pathways is very low (25%), but that the specificity is very high (92%), and that the sum of the R-wave amplitudes in those leads (< 0.5 mV) enables their identification with a sensitivity and specificity of 85.5% and 75.5%, respectively.

Haghigho et al2 propose the presence of a “pseudo-r’’ in aVR to identify atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia; it has a better statistical performance compared with the standard electrocardiographic criteria (pseudo-r’ in V1-V2 and pseudo-S in inferior leads).
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During without improvements with factors observed from reverse ablation of double early ventriculoatrial conduction of St. Jude Medical Inc. (Figure 1). This platform consists of creating a 3-dimensional electromagnetic field to locate sensors in medical devices (sheaths, catheters, guide wires, etc.) that enables real-time catheter tracking using prerecorded fluoroscopic images.

**ATRIAL FIBRILLATION**

**Silent Cerebral Embolisms: Not Always Caused by Ablation**

In recent years, small, clinically silent emboli following ablation procedures have been detected through the use of sophisticated brain magnetic resonance techniques, which has provoked grave concern. In their study of brain magnetic resonance images acquired before and after electrical cardioversion, Vázquez et al. observed that there were no new lesions after cardioversion, but that 56% of the patients already had clinically silent ischemic brain lesions that had developed spontaneously. Likewise, symptomatic AF has been found to occur frequently in diabetic patients and is associated with spontaneous silent cerebral infarction in 61% of patients.

**Numerous Publications Comparing Ablation and Antiarrhythmic Drugs**

For the past 10 years, there has been a succession of reports comparing ablation and antiarrhythmic drug therapy. Table 1 summaries these previous publications, which were the subject of a recent review article, as well as an additional article published in 2013, which differs in that the patients included had not previously been treated with antiarrhythmic drugs and the efficacy was measured according to the arrhythmia burden. Although the main conclusion is that there are no differences in the arrhythmia burden, the results at 24 months, including the proportion of patients who were free of recurrences, were better with ablation; moreover, 36% of the patients assigned to drug therapy required ablation. Table 1 shows that the major difference with respect to the earlier studies does not lie in the efficacy of ablation, but in the greater efficacy of the antiarrhythmic agents, probably because the patients in the drug therapy group had not received them prior to the study. Another report compared ablation with a strategy involving rate control for the first time in patients with persistent AF and heart failure (HF), taking peak oxygen consumption as the primary outcome measure; nevertheless, ablation proved to be superior.

**More About Rate Control Compared With Rhythm Control**

A number of randomized clinical trials have demonstrated that the rate control strategy does not lead to higher mortality than rhythm control. However, it is suspected that this is due to the limited efficacy of the therapies for rhythm control since, when the subgroup of patients maintaining sinus rhythm was considered, the survival rate was higher. Two new studies appear to confirm the superiority of sinus rhythm. In patients with HF, the rhythm control strategy was not superior to the rate control approach, but the patients who spent a higher proportion of time in sinus rhythm showed functional class improvement. In a population-based study involving more than 26,000 patients over an 8-year period, the rhythm control strategy was found to be superior for the first time, but the improvement in survival became evident after the fourth year of follow-up.

**VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMIAS**

Certain ventricular arrhythmias can have fatal consequences for the patient. Thus, it is important to correctly identify the specific

![Figure 1. Image acquired using Mediguide Technology during implantation of a cardiac resynchronization therapy device. Reproduced with permission of St. Jude Medical, 2013.](image-url)
diagnosis, optimize treatment, and establish prognosis. Thus, Della Bella et al.14 described the creation of a multidisciplinary dedicated unit for patients with ventricular tachycardia, in which ablation played an important role. In addition to presenting a large series of patients (n = 528), the authors carried out comprehensive procedures, and the acute outcomes were very good (noninducible clinical arrhythmia in > 77% of patients following the procedure), conferring an important prognostic role to electrophysiological study following ablation. The patients who continued to have inducible arrhythmias after ablation had a poorer course during follow-up due to both arrhythmia recurrence and death.

To improve diagnostic accuracy, Hoffmann et al.15 proposed a scoring system for use in the always difficult differentiation between patients with idiopathic outflow tract ventricular arrhythmia and those with arrhythmogenic RV dysplasia. This system evaluates T wave inversion in leads V1-V2 during sinus rhythm (3 points) and, during ventricular arrhythmia, a QRS duration in lead V1 > 120 ms (2 points), QRS notching in several leads (2 points), and precordial transition in V5 or V6 (1 point). A score of ≥ 5 identified patients with arrhythmogenic RV dysplasia 93% of the time.

Imaging techniques, used to aid the characterization of ventricular scars, have again been the subject of a number of publications. Fernández-Armet et al.16 employed high-resolution 3-dimensional magnetic resonance in postinfarction patients and observed a larger scar area in the epicardium than in the endocardium but nevertheless detected more channels along the border of the endocardial scar than in the epicardial scar. Moreover, these channels, observed using a noninvasive technique, correlated very closely with those obtained from electroanatomic mapping.

Many postinfarction patients with ventricular arrhythmias have implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) devices, which could limit the performance of magnetic resonance. Thus, Komats et al.17 used multidetector computed tomography, correlating the areas of myocardial thinning to < 5 mm with areas of low voltage and late potentials obtained by electroanatomic mapping. Despite the strong correlation, the area of thinning to < 5 mm was slightly smaller than the low voltage area. In contrast, all the late potentials were found in the thinned area and the very late potentials (> 100 ms post-QRS), in portions that were even thinner (< 3 mm). All these findings may prove to be an aid for delimiting the area of interest in patients scheduled to undergo ventricular tachycardia ablation.

Once again, the possibility of reversing dilated cardiomyopathies following ablation of premature ventricular complexes has prompted the publication of several articles. Campos et al.18 used unipolar voltage mapping to identify patients with reversible cardiomyopathy after ablation of their premature ventricular complexes when the area of unipolar amplitude abnormality (< 8.27 mV) was < 32% of the total left ventricular surface (Figure 2).

**IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER DEFIBRILLATOR**

**Spanish Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Registry**

The latest Spanish ICD registry19 contains records on 4481 implantations, a figure that represents more than 80% of the estimated total number of procedures performed in Spain in 2011. For the first time, the rate of implantations slightly decreased compared with previous years, a finding that was similar to those reported by neighboring countries. With a rate of 97 implantations per million population, Spain remains below the European average. Nevertheless, the indications for ICD in primary prevention continue to expand, accounting for half of all implantations, and, again, the use of cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) increased.

**Programming Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators**

Evidence that an optimal programming of ICD has a positive impact on patient quality of life and survival was reinforced in 2013. The MADIT-RIT20 is a randomized multicenter trial that included 1500 patients in whom an ICD was indicated for primary prevention, and compared the conventional antitachycardia pacing strategy with a strategy involving a single high-rate zone (≥ 200 bpm) or another with a programmed prolonged period of monitoring prior to therapy. This trial demonstrated that inappropriate shocks could be significantly reduced by programming therapies exclusively for high-rate tachyarrhythmias or by prolonging detection times prior to initiating treatment, a strategy that ultimately improved survival (Figure 3).
40 intervals), over a 12-month follow-up period. Finally, in the group of patients who underwent prolonged detection, there was a significant reduction in the number of episodes of appropriate and inappropriate antitachycardia pacing and shocks.

**Totally Subcutaneous Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator**

The totally subcutaneous ICD consists of a generator capable of delivering 80 J and a subcutaneous electrode implanted parallel to the left sternal border. This system simplifies the intervention, which is performed without the need for fluoroscopy; in addition, it avoids the risks associated with placement of intracardiac electrodes. Weiss et al.22 evaluated the efficacy and safety of this device in a prospective, nonrandomized, multicenter study involving more than 300 patients with an indication for an ICD was indicated (follow-up, 11 months). The probability of remaining event-free 6 months after the intervention was 99%, with an efficacy of 90% in the treatment of induced ventricular fibrillation, and of 100% in documented spontaneous episodes. The rate of inappropriate shocks was 13.1%, a problem that could be greatly reduced by reprogramming the device.

---

**Figure 2.** Electroanatomic map of the left ventricles of 2 patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, whose unipolar voltage maps show a higher probability of reversibility (top) or irreversibility (bottom) of cardiomyopathy following ablation of premature ventricular complexes. LPO, left posterior oblique; RAO, right anterior oblique. Adapted with permission from Campos et al.18.
Figure 3. Programming strategies for antitachycardia pacing used in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial–Reduce Inappropriate Therapy.20 The major benefits gained with each of the programming strategies compared with the conventional strategy are shown on the right. ATP + symbol: antitachycardia pacing + shocks.

INNOVATIONS IN CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY

During 2012, the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure of the ESC/EHRA (European Society of Cardiology/European Heart Rhythm Association) and the ACCF/AHA/HRS (American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society) published new guidelines on CRT.23,24 The update of the ACCF/AHA/HRS guidelines that incorporates innovations in CRT was presented in January 2013,25 and the task force on cardiac pacing and CRT of the ESC/EHRA published the update of their guidelines on cardiac pacing and CRT in August of the same year.26 Some of the modifications in the recommendations are common to both guidelines, but we can also observe certain differences (Table 2 and Figures 4 and 5). For the first time, the 2013 ESC/EHRA guidelines include recommendations on the choice between CRT alone and CRT with ICD. In candidates for CRT, clinical data favoring implantation of a CRT device alone are considered (advanced HF, severe renal failure or dialysis, comorbidities, frailty or cachexia) vs clinical data that favor CRT with ICD (stable HF in New York Heart Association functional class II, life expectancy > 1 year, ischemic heart disease with a low or intermediate MADIT risk score, and absence of comorbidities). In candidates for ICD implantation, a CRT with ICD device should be implanted, provided it is indicated.26

OPTIMIZATION OF THE RESPONSE TO CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES

The purpose of optimizing the response to CRT is to reduce the rate of nonresponders.27 The recommended program includes simultaneous stimulation of both ventricles, with an atrioventricular

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Relevant Modifications in the Recommendations For Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in the 2013 Updates American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology/European Heart Rhythm Association 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 ACCF/AHA/HRS guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Limitation of class I recommendation to patients with QRS morphology of left bundle branch block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Extension of class I recommendation to patients in NYHA functional class II, in sinus rhythm, with left bundle branch block and LVEF ≤ 35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACCF/AHA/HRS: American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society; ESC/EHRA: European Society of Cardiology/European Heart Rhythm Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association.
Interval programmed at 100 ms to 120 ms. In addition, it is recommended that the left ventricular pacing lead be implanted in a lateral or posterolateral vein to improve the response to CRT. During follow-up, the recommendation is to maintain biventricular pacing as close as possible to 100% (at least > 93%), to program the optimal atrioventricular interval to achieve the greatest possible contribution of left atrial contraction to left ventricular filling, and to program an optimal interventricular interval to reduce residual left ventricular asynchrony (even with pacing of the left ventricle alone).

Standard exercise testing enables the evaluation of the loss of biventricular pacing during exercise and facilitates decision-making on drug therapy, device programming, and the need for atrioventricular node ablation in patients with CRT. There is increasing interest in determining the influence of structural RV changes on predicting the response to CRT, as well as the influence of remodeling of that chamber on the clinical course and incidence of ventricular arrhythmias in patients treated with CRT.

A number of interesting technological advances have been introduced over the past year. One of the most notable innovations is the use of quadripolar leads with different fixation mechanisms.
and different distances between left ventricular pacing leads, with the accompanying development of software that enables multisite left ventricular pacing.32 Another novelty is the implantation of the first leadless left ventricular epicardial pacing system33 and CRT devices that deliver left ventricular endocardial pacing stimuli via the interventricular septum,34 a technique that will help to overcome the challenges of the coronary venous anatomy.

**CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF REMOTE MONITORING IN CARDIAC PACING**

In recent years, remote monitoring (RM) has been gaining ground as an efficient method that is well accepted by patients with cardiac pacing systems and provides information that is similar to that provided by office visits. In recent months, several aspects of this technique have become consolidated and thus RM should be considered the monitoring system of choice, even superior to office visits. A few brief comments on some of these aspects are discussed below.

**Safety**

The ALTITUDE registry, with a large number of recipients of ICD, with or without CRT, clearly demonstrated that the mortality rate was reduced during long-term follow-up.35 Randomized prospective studies confirmed the safety of RM,36,37 as it reduced the number of appropriate and inappropriate shocks and, consequently, had a positive impact on battery life and patient mortality. Other studies have demonstrated the ability of RM in the early detection of HF or AF episodes, even when asymptomatic, which facilitates early treatment and reduces morbidity and mortality.38–40 Given these findings, the latest European guidelines

---

**Figure 5.** Diagram showing the indications for cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients in sinus rhythm (A) and in those with atrial fibrillation (B), according to the 2013 update of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society guidelines. AVN, atrioventricular node; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; HF, heart failure; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association.
for cardiac pacing rate RM as a class II recommendation based on level A evidence.26

These considerations have subsequently been strengthened, confirming the importance of early access to information on potential clinical complications and on device function41 and supporting the superiority of RM over office visits.42

Organizing Consultations

After several years of experience, centers with large numbers of RM patients have organized their activity on the basis of 2 clear concepts: not all the patients with pacemakers, ICD, or ICD with CRT need to be monitored, either remotely or in office visits, with the same frequency13,44 and it is highly advisable to design the strategy so that specifically trained members of the nursing staff screen the collected data33–45; members of the working group on RM of the Spanish Society of Cardiology are working actively on the latter aspect. The creation of centralized, out-of-hospital units to filter and correct the data may be of highly useful for hospitals with few RM consultations.40 Finally, to optimize the management of the RM data, software tools must be implemented that aid in transferring the data from the electronic medical record and in evaluating the results by using multicenter databases, already implemented in Spain.

Economic Analysis

There is a lack of structured criteria for the cost of RM. In Spain, this expenditure is lower because of the design and percentage of the public health budget involved, although the present situation must be addressed so that this approach can be widely implemented. Prospective studies are underway to attend to this need.47

Legal Aspects

There is little information on this question48; the European Society of Cardiology has expressed the need for general regulations as well as specific policies for each country.40 The working group on RM of the Spanish Society of Cardiology is preparing a handbook to address these aspects, sponsored by the Spanish Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality, which will be available within a few months.

It would therefore appear to be logical to question whether, at the present time, cardiac pacing devices should be implanted if the recipients have no access to RM.

PACEMAKERS

Of the articles published over the past year, 1003 included the term “cardiac pacing” as a key word. Here, we summarize those of greatest interest.

Alternative Pacing Sites

A randomized clinical trial has been published to compare RV apical pacing with pacing of the ventricular septum in terms of left ventricular and RV ejection fractions measured by radionuclide ventriculography after 1 and 4 years of follow-up.49 Septal pacing (according to radiological criteria) provided no advantages with regard to ventricular function; moreover, the inadvertent placement of the lead in an anterior position (confirmed by echocardiography in 46% of the patients with placement supposedly in the septum) reduced the left ventricular ejection fraction throughout follow-up, a decrease that was not observed in the group with the lead in the mid-septum. A substudy of the DANPACE trial,51 a Danish multicenter effort, which compared AAIR and DDRR pacing in 1415 patients with sick sinus syndrome, demonstrated that hospital admission for HF was not associated with the pacing mode, percentage of pacing, or lead position (apical or nonapical, according to radiological criteria).

PACING DEVICES AND DETECTION OF ATRIAL ARRHYTHMIAS

An analysis from the ASSERT trial52 compared the positive predictive value of events catalogued as atrial high-rate episodes, retrieved in a review of stored electrograms, for several atrial rate cutoff points and episode durations. The authors concluded that reviewing electrograms is crucial to interpret episodes of shorter duration. Along the lines of the results of the ASSERT trial, a prospective study involving 560 HF patients with CRT devices demonstrated that, in this group of high-risk patients, the presence of atrial arrhythmias detected by the device and reviewed by RM was associated with an increase in the risk of thromboembolic events.53 A substudy of the TRENDS trial,54 carried out in patients with implantable devices and a CHADS2 (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, diabetes, stroke [doubled]) score ≥ 1 and no history of clinical AF, called attention to the high incidence of atrial tachycardia or AF, detected with continuous monitoring, in this group of patients (30%), which was of longer duration in patients with multiple risk factors. A substudy of the ASSERT trial55 evaluated the utility of an algorithm for atrial overdrive pacing in the prevention of AF of > 6 minutes’ duration. There were no differences between the group of patients in which the algorithm was enabled (ON) and the group in which it was not (OFF) in the incidence of AF and thromboembolic events.

MANAGEMENT OF ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY IN DEVICE IMPLANTATION

This subject continues to be controversial. Two meta-analyses published in 2013 highlighted the advantages of continuing oral anticoagulation therapy over heparin administration in terms of a lower incidence of bleeding, with no difference in the rate of thromboembolic events.56,57 The BRUISE CONTROL study,58 a randomized clinical trial involving patients at moderate to high risk for embolic events undergoing device implantation, confirmed the data available to date demonstrating a lower incidence of clinically significant hematoma in those patients who continued to receive oral anticoagulation therapy compared with those treated with heparin (3.5% vs 16.0%; relative risk = 0.19; P < .001) This study will probably change the management of anticoagulation therapy in device implantation.

SYNCOPE

Significant reports dealing with syncope have appeared over the past year.

The implantable loop recorder is considered essential in the diagnosis of syncope. A Spanish study reinforced this concept. The Spanish REVEAL Registry developed by Lacunza-Ruiz et al59 was a prospective multicenter registry in which 40 centers agreed to participate. It is the largest study to evaluate implantable loop recorders to date (743 patients, 91% of them due to syncope). The results were similar to those of previous studies, with a final diagnosis for one third of the patients with a follow-up of ≥ 1 year. There were no differences in the diagnostic yield for groups that had been specified previously (bundle branch block and structural
heart disease) compared with a group with no heart disease, but the authors did observe that this yield was higher in patients with recurrent syncope than in the subgroup of patients with isolated syncope or palpitations.

The treatment of vasovagal syncope is a challenge. In 2012, there were 2 significant reports in this field. After the initial enthusiasm, the use of β-blockers as treatment had been abandoned in recent years. However, a study by Sheldon et al\textsuperscript{160} has restored some of their previous prominence. The role of age in the effectiveness of metoprolol in 2 earlier studies (one observational and the other, the POST study [Prevention of Syncope Trial], was randomized), involving a total of 361 patients. Surprisingly, β-blockers were seen to increase the risk of recurrence by 58% in patients < 42 years, but beyond that age, they reduced it by 48%. Although a randomized study in patients > 40 years of age will be necessary to confirm the validity of these results, for the time being, they support their use in a population of more advanced age.

For refractory vasovagal syncope, there are very few alternatives; the pacemaker continues to be a class Iib recommendation in the most recent European guidelines\textsuperscript{161} and, thus, new therapeutic alternatives will be welcome. Autonomic modulation is gaining weight in cardiology; such is the case of renal denervation for hypertension or vagal stimulation for HF. In this context, Yao et al\textsuperscript{161} reported that denervation by radiofrequency limited to the left atrium served to treat—with excellent results over the long term (mean, 30 months)—a group of 10 highly symptomatic patients (median, 3.5 syncopal episodes during the preceding year) and positive head-up tilt test (not necessarily with a cardioinhibitory response). In this approach, the ganglionic plexi were located by high-frequency stimulation and vagal responses were observed (Figure 6). The main objective was the inhibition of these responses following radiofrequency. Ablation of left superior ganglionic plexi was achieved in 10 patients, of right superior ganglionic plexi in 5, and of left inferior ganglionic plexi in 3 (2 ganglionic plexi were ablated in 6 patients and all 3 in only 1 patient). There were no acute or denervation-related complications (such as sinus tachycardia, gastric paresis, etc), nor were there any recurrences during the follow-up period, although episodes of presyncope did occur. Conceptually, it is a very interesting study and, given the extensive experience in AF ablation, many centers could add this approach to their therapeutic arsenal for patients with refractory disease; however, it still is an aggressive treatment that can result in complications, and a more extensive study will be necessary to determine its true effectiveness.

A Danish report by Ruwald et al\textsuperscript{162} has raised doubts about the good prognosis of syncope in apparently healthy patients. These authors studied 37 017 patients with a first syncopal episode between 2001 and 2009, which was recorded in a nationwide database (median age, 47 years). Forty percent of these patients were selected because they had no heart disease or comorbidities, and they were followed for a mean period of 4.5 years. They were compared with a control sample of > 185 085 individuals. The all-cause mortality at 1 year was low and was identical in the 2 groups (= 2%), but in the subgroup of intermediate age, defined as 25 years to 74 years, the all-cause mortality was higher among patients with syncope (hazard ratio = 1.3-2.0). Over the long term, the all-cause mortality was higher in all the age groups, except the group aged > 75 years. These surprising results implied an increase in hospital admissions for cardiac causes, recurrent syncope and stroke, and a higher incidence of device implantations (pacemakers and defibrillators). Although the report has limitations (the definition of comorbidities may be vague, the lack of the electrocardiogram from the index episode, and scarce data on the type of death), it raises doubts about the prognosis of syncope in an apparently healthy population, at least in the subgroup of individuals of intermediate age, which new epidemiological studies will have to substantiate or refute.


