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Clinical Profile and Incidence of Ventricular Arrhythmia in Patients Undergoing Defibrillator Generator Replacement in Spain
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators reduce mortality in some patients with heart disease. Battery replacement is a frequent occurrence in clinical practice and is required in up to 30% of implants. The benefit/risk ratio of defibrillators varies over time and should be reevaluated at the time of replacement. The aim of this study was to determine the clinical characteristics and incidence of defibrillator therapies in patients who underwent generator replacement.

Methods: This multicenter retrospective study involved patients from the UMBRELLA national registry who underwent replacement due to defibrillator battery depletion. The incidence of ventricular arrhythmias was determined via remote monitoring. Risk factors for sustained ventricular arrhythmia after replacement were analyzed.

Results: A total of 354 patients were included (mean age [standard deviation], 61.8 [14.5] years; men, 80%; secondary prevention, 42%; ventricular arrhythmias in the explanted generator, 62%). After a 25-month follow-up, 70 patients (20%) received appropriate therapies and 8 (2.3%) received inappropriate discharges. Male sex, structural heart disease, heart failure, and the absence of resynchronization were independent predictors of ventricular arrhythmia occurrence.

Conclusions: One-fifth of patients had appropriate defibrillator therapies in the first 2 years after generator replacement. Determination of the factors associated with arrhythmia occurrence after replacement may be useful to optimize implantable cardioverter-defibrillator treatment.

© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Perfil clínico e incidencia de arritmias ventriculares de los pacientes sometidos a recambio de generador de desfibrilador en España

RESUMEN

Introducción y objetivos: Se ha demostrado que los desfibriladores automáticos implantables reducen la mortalidad de ciertos pacientes con cardiopatía. El recambio de su batería es una situación frecuente en la práctica clínica, hasta un 30% de los implantes. El beneficio/riesgo del desfibrilador no es constante en el tiempo, y debe reevaluarse en el momento del recambio. El objetivo del estudio es conocer las características clínicas y la incidencia de terapias del desfibrilador en los pacientes sometidos a un recambio de generador.

Métodos: Se realizó un estudio retrospectivo multicéntrico basado en los pacientes del registro nacional UMBRELLA, sometidos a recambio por agotamiento de la batería del desfibrilador. Se analizó la incidencia de arritmias ventriculares mediante monitoreo a distancia. Se analizaron los factores de riesgo de arritmia ventricular sostenida tras el recambio.

Resultados: Se incluyó a 354 pacientes (media de edad, 61.8 ± 14.5 años; varones, 80%; prevención secundaria, 42%; arritmias ventriculares en el generador explantado, 62%). Tras un seguimiento de 25 meses, 70 pacientes (20%) presentaron terapias apropiadas y 8 (2.3%) tuvieron descargas inapropiadas. El sexo masculino, la presencia de cardiopatía estructural, la insuficiencia cardiaca y la ausencia de resincronización fueron predictores independientes de la aparición de arritmias ventriculares.
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INTRODUCCIÓN

El implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) ha reducido la mortalidad total en numerosos ensayos clínicos de enfermedades cardíacas al reducir la tasa de muerte en pacientes con riesgo de muerte súbita y enfermedad coronaria. Consecuentemente, el uso de ICDs está indicado en las guías de práctica clínica.9 El número de implantes se ha incrementado tanto en el mundo como en el norte de América, y las razones son el mayor número de dispositivos, la mejora de la calidad de vida, la economía y la reducción de complicaiones.10,11

El implantable cardioverter-defibrillator es una intervención quirúrgica compleja que puede causar complicaciones.12 Las complicaciones quirúrgicas incluyen infarto de miocardio, hemorragia, infección, neumonía, delirium, insuficiencia renal, y mortalidad.13,14

La frecuencia de los ICDs se ha incrementado en España, donde se han implantado más de 1135 (26.12%) pacientes en el año 2010.15

MÉTODOS

Este estudio se condujo dentro del marco del proyecto de investigación de la Caja Cardio.16 Se registraron todos los pacientes en el registro UMBRELLA national, que incluye a los pacientes de Medtronic.17 Se registraron 1661 pacientes en el año 2011.18,19

La población de estudio consistió en todos los pacientes que participaron en el registro UMBRELLA. Se excluyeron los pacientes que no se fueron implantados debido a la falta de espacio en el dispositivo. Los pacientes que participaron en el estudio fueron aquellos que se implantaron en el año 2011.20

La prevalencia de arritmias ventriculares súbitas fue evaluada mediante el análisis de la electrocardiografía.21 Se realizó un seguimiento a todos los pacientes para determinar si hubo algún evento cardiovascular.
between 2 of the 3 reviewers, the event was reassigned to a new pair of reviewers and, if necessary, to a sixth reviewer. If there was still no consensus between 2 of the 3 reviewers, the event was classified in a joint meeting of all the committee members.

Continuous variables are expressed as mean (standard deviation [interval]) and/or [interquartile range] and discrete variables are expressed as proportions. Continuous variables were compared using the Student t test for independent samples or a 1-way analysis of variance, after confirmation of the normality of the distribution. Binary logistic regression was used to calculate the risk factors for the occurrence of appropriate therapies. First, a univariate analysis was performed with each of the variables analyzed in the study. Next, a multivariate model was constructed using those variables with \( P < .20 \) in the univariate analysis. Data analysis was performed with the IBM SPSS Statistics software package, version 20. \( P \) values < .05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

A total of 354 patients who underwent ICD generator replacement due to battery depletnion between January 2007 and November 2012 were included in this study.

The mean patient age was 61.8 (14.5 [53–73]) years. Of the 354 patients, 62% had sustained ventricular arrhythmias at the time of generator replacement. The clinical characteristics and device type are summarized in Table 1.

The predominant underlying heart disease was ischemia (46.5%), and 9.5% of the patients had no structural heart disease. The proportion of patients in secondary prevention varied by heart disease, from 25% of patients with Brugada syndrome to 100% of patients with long QT syndrome or idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. The types of heart disease and corresponding indications are shown in Figure 1.

**Battery Life**

The mean lifespan of the generators replaced was 5.6 (1.9) years. Device type was the only factor independently correlated with generator lifespan. The lifespan of single-chamber ICDs was 6.6 (1.9) years, whereas those of dual-chamber ICDs and ICDs with cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) were 5.8 (1.5) years and 4.4 (1.1) years \( (P < .001) \), respectively. Therapy history (bursts or discharges) in the explanted generators had no effect on battery lifespan.

**Incidence of Ventricular Arrhythmias**

After a mean follow-up of 25 (18) months, 1340 stored events were recorded as ventricular arrhythmias in 11 patients (31%). Event analysis concluded that 937 of the 1340 events (70%) were sustained ventricular arrhythmias and that the other events were nonsustained ventricular arrhythmias, supraventricular arrhythmias, or false detection (due to noise or T wave oversensing). The types of events following electrogram-based classification are shown in Figure 2.

Events corresponding to sustained ventricular arrhythmias were recorded in 70 of the 354 patients (20%; 95% confidence interval, 15.3%–23.7%). Discharges were appropriate in 39 patients (11%) and inappropriate in 8 patients (2.3%; 95% confidence interval, 0.8%–3.7%). The type of heart disease that proportionally showed the highest number of sustained events was arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. Patients without structural heart disease had a lower incidence of appropriate ICD therapies (2.6%). The incidence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias by heart disease type is shown in Figure 3. The time from generator replacement to the first appropriate ICD discharge was 14.5 (13.5 [0.2–51.9]) months. Each patient had a mean of 4 (0–322) antitachycardia pacings and 0.4 (0–13) shocks during follow-up.

There were no sustained ventricular arrhythmias before replacement or during follow-up in 113 patients (32%). At the time of replacement, 19 of these patients were > 75 years (17% of the total) and 6 were > 80 years (5% of the total). Of these, 72% had a primary prevention indication.

Univariate analysis of the factors associated with the occurrence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias after ICD replacement is shown in Table 2. In multivariate analysis, only male sex, structural heart disease, and heart failure were associated with a higher incidence of ventricular arrhythmias, while CRT acted as a protective factor against this type of arrhythmia (Table 3). Detection of ventricular fibrillation...
arrhythmias or discharges in the explanted ICD showed a nonsignificant tendency to predict ventricular arrhythmias after replacement. No significant differences were detected in the occurrence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias based on ejection fraction in the overall patient population or in the subgroup of 269 patients with ischemic heart disease or dilated heart muscle disease.

**DISCUSSION**

Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator generator replacements are systematically performed in most centers. Typically, patients are sent to undergo ICD replacement from device consultation in a largely automated manner once battery depletion is seen, which can lead to a lack of attention to this process. Replacement of ICDs is as important a clinical decision as the decision to implant the first device and requires an individualized reevaluation of patient status and therapy indication.

![Figure 2](image_url) Events detected during the follow-up of patients after generator replacement according to the expert committee. AF, atrial fibrillation; NSVT, nonsustained ventricular tachycardia; SMVT, sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia; SVTs, supraventricular tachycardias; VF, ventricular fibrillation.

![Figure 3](image_url) Comparison of the incidence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia by heart disease type. Each pair of columns shows the total number of patients and the number of patients with events. ARVD, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

**Table 2**

Univariate Analysis for Estimating the Risk of the Occurrence of Sustained Ventricular Arrhythmias From a Selection of Study Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men)</td>
<td>4.90 (1.72-13.95)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication (secondary prevention)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.03-2.95)</td>
<td>.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of AF</td>
<td>1.24 (0.67-2.27)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>0.90 (0.35-2.28)</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural heart disease</td>
<td>1.25 (1.15-1.35)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &lt; 30%</td>
<td>1.00 (0.59-1.73)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>2.04 (1.10-3.80)</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left bundle branch block</td>
<td>0.58 (0.29-1.13)</td>
<td>.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF at baseline</td>
<td>1.94 (1.04-3.64)</td>
<td>.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>0.54 (0.30-0.98)</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ATP</td>
<td>1.58 (0.92-2.72)</td>
<td>.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous discharge</td>
<td>1.49 (0.88-2.52)</td>
<td>.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous sustained VT/VF episode</td>
<td>1.54 (0.88-2.71)</td>
<td>.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95%CI, 95% confidence interval; AF, atrial fibrillation; ATP, antitachycardia pacing; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

**Table 3**

Multivariate Analysis for Estimating the Risk of the Occurrence of Sustained Ventricular Arrhythmias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>3.68 (1.26-10.75)</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural heart disease</td>
<td>7.69 (0.99-58.82)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>2.17 (1.10-4.29)</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>0.38 (0.20-0.70)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95%CI, 95% confidence interval; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy. Only statistically significant predictors are shown.
The results of the present study provide novel data on the characteristics of patients who undergo ICD replacement in Spain, the performance of the explanted devices, and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias after replacement.

The main findings of the study are as follows: a) the prevalence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia detected by the explanted ICD was 62%; b) the cumulative incidence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia at the 2-year follow-up was 20%; c) the cumulative incidence of inappropriate discharges in this period was 2.3%, and d) the independent predictors of the occurrence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia were male sex, structural heart disease, heart failure, and absence of CRT.

**Age of Patients Undergoing Generator Replacement**

The age of the study patients (61.8 [14.5] years) was similar to that found in national ICD registries. The mean age of patients with a first implantation or replacement was 62.5 [13.4] years according to the 2011 registry and was 61.7 [13.6] years in the case of first implants (the age of patients who underwent replacement was not specified). Although ICD therapy is effective for the treatment of ventricular arrhythmias in all age groups, its impact on mortality is reduced and the clinical benefit is lower in patients > 75 years (19% of the patients in this study).

**Incidence of Therapies After Replacement**

The series of the Leiden group, which included patients with ICDs in primary and secondary prevention, showed a cumulative incidence of appropriate therapies at 1 year and 3 years of 18% and 33%, respectively, whereas the incidence of inappropriate discharges at 1 year and 3 years was 7% and 13%, respectively. The incidence of appropriate shocks after generator replacement was similar in this study, but the incidence of inappropriate discharges was clearly lower. The low incidence of inappropriate discharges after replacement can be explained by adjustments in the programming and/or antiarrhythmic treatment to prevent this type of therapy during the follow-up performed before generator replacement.

The cumulative 2-year incidence of appropriate therapies in our patients was lower than the approximately 30% noted in the German INSURE registry series of patients with ICD generator replacement, which was the other large series published to date. No data have been published on the incidence of inappropriate therapies in this registry. Possible explanations for the different incidences of appropriate therapies are that the overwhelming majority of patients studied in the INSURE registry were in secondary prevention and that CRT patients were excluded.

**Predictors of the Occurrence of Ventricular Arrhythmias During Follow-up**

The higher incidence of appropriate therapies found in patients with heart failure and structural heart disease is in agreement with the current available evidence on the general population of patients with ICDs.

The correlation between a history of ventricular arrhythmias in the explanted ICD and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias is more controversial. Although this history was a risk factor in the INSURE study, in the series of Van Welsenes et al the absence of events after the useful life of an ICD generator failed to predict the absence of events after ICD replacement in primary prevention patients with idiopathic or ischemia-related dysfunction of the left ventricle. In our series, there was no statistically significant correlation between the incidence of appropriate therapies and the history of ventricular arrhythmias in the replaced ICD and therefore this association may be only produced or produced with greater strength in secondary prevention patients.

The protective effect of CRT in reducing ICD therapies found in patients after replacement contrasts with the neutral effect in this regard published in a substudy of patients of the Contak-CD and InSync-CD clinical trials. The general neutral effect of CRT is explained by a heterogeneous response: whereas in some patients CRT had a proarrhythmic effect due to repolarization dispersion produced by epicardial pacing, in others, a reduction was seen in the appropriate ICD therapies that was associated with reverse remodeling of the left ventricle. Survival until generator replacement is required probably selects in some way for responsive patients and those cases of proarrhythmia associated with CRT were excluded, which explains the net favorable effect in this group.

This study is the first to uncover the protective role of female sex in the postgenerator replacement population. A substudy of the MADIT-II trial showed a lower incidence of ventricular arrhythmias in women, although these data have not been confirmed in other studies. Women with ventricular dysfunction respond better than men to drug treatment with beta-blockers and angiotensin inhibitors and to CRT, which could translate into a beneficial reduction in the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias at the time of replacement.

**Decision on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator Generator Replacement Following Battery Depletion**

Once the need for ICD battery depletion approaches, the patient’s life expectancy and attitude to the possibility of cardiac arrest may have changed since the first implant, particularly in very elderly patients and/or those with serious comorbidities. For those with primary prevention indications that have not received appropriate therapies during the useful life of the defibrillator, these considerations gain importance. The recommendations of the European consensus document on the management of implantable devices in terminally ill patients clearly state that deactivating or neglecting to replace a defibrillator is not considered a form of euthanasia. Thus, this option should be considered in certain patients. So far, it is unknown what proportion of patients with ICD battery depletion does not undergo generator replacement, the reason for treatment interruption, and the clinical course of this type of patient, which could be objectives of future studies.

**Limitations**

This registry was based on a preformatted data collection sheet, which precluded the identification of other clinical data of interest when evaluating ICD prognosis and indication, such as the presence of degenerative diseases, cancer, end-stage renal failure, etc.

The presence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias may be overestimated by considering them to be incidences of ventricular tachycardia interrupted by override pacing, as a proportion of these events could correspond to self-limiting ventricular arrhythmias.

Extrapolation of the data to other brands should be performed with caution because the data were obtained from patients fitted with an ICD from only 1 manufacturer. This caveat is particularly important when referring to device lifespan because lifespan is already known to vary among devices made by distinct manufacturers. The reduced number of patients without structural
heart disease or with right ventricular dysplasia in the series should be remembered when interpreting ICD performance in this group of patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Most patients who undergo generator replacement in Spain have had sustained ventricular arrhythmias at the time of replacement. One-fifth of patients who undergo generator replacement have appropriate therapies after 2 years of follow-up. Whereas the incidence of appropriate therapies is almost identical to that of the general population of patients with ICDS, the incidence of inappropriate discharges after replacement is lower. Predictors of the occurrence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia after replacement are male sex, structural heart disease, heart failure, and the absence of CRT.

The results of this study may be useful for optimizing therapy performance and understanding the clinical benefits of ICD replacement, which could help decisions be made about whether ICD generator replacement should be performed in specific patients.
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