shown to reduce the high in-hospital mortality rate in this patient population, although there is a trend toward a reduction in long-term mortality.
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Early Treatment of Refractory Cardiogenic Shock With Percutaneous Veno-arterial ECMO Implanted in the Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory

Tratamiento precoz del shock cardiogénico refractario mediante implante percutáneo de ECMO venoarterial en el laboratorio de hemodinámica

To the Editor,

Circulatory support devices such as veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) help maintain hemodynamic support and adequate tissue oxygenation, and form part of the therapeutic armamentarium in cardiac arrest and refractory cardiogenic shock.1–3 Percutaneous implantation is less invasive than the approach used in other short-term devices, with femoral cannulation most commonly used due to its simplicity and speed.4 VA-ECMO can be used as a bridge to recovery or to the decision for a long-term ventricular assist device or heart transplantation. It is in widespread use5 and experience continues to increase in adult patients in Spain,6 where the sole alternative is cardiac surgery.

It is well known that early treatment with appropriate circulatory support is vital in patients with cardiogenic shock.1–3 For this reason, in July 2013 we set up a transfemoral percutaneous ECMO implantation program in nonsurgical patients with refractory cardiogenic shock at our hospital. The interventional cardiologist implanted the CARDIOHELP™ system (MAQUET Cardiopulmonary AG, Germany) in all patients in the cardiac catheterization laboratory, and inpatient care then continued in the cardiac intensive care unit. This article describes the baseline patient characteristics; the indications, duration and management of circulatory support; and progress at follow up.

Between July 2013 and April 2014 VA-ECMO was implanted in 4 consecutive patients with refractory cardiogenic shock, INTERMACS level 1 (critical cardiogenic shock).6 Three of these patients had cardiogenic shock following an anterior, inferior and right ventricular myocardial infarction, respectively, and the fourth had a refractory cardiac arrest secondary to an anterior infarction. The baseline characteristics and the clinical progress of the 4 patients are described in the Table. The mean (standard deviation) age was 54.5 (7.4) years. Three patients were male. The mean left ventricular ejection fraction before ECMO implantation was 10% (5%) (n = 3) and the fourth patient had severe right ventricular dysfunction. The decision to implant VA-ECMO was made in the cardiac catheterization laboratory due to poor clinical outcome of the primary percutaneous coronary intervention. An interventional cardiologist performed the cannulation. Implantation was successful in all cases and the mean time to pump initiation was 14 (8–20) minutes. At 24 hours postcannulation, lactic acid levels had dropped from a precannulation baseline of 8.4 (1.7) mmol/L to 2.3 (1) mmol/L (P < .001). All patients had previously
had intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation implantation via the contralateral femoral artery. Due to their clinical status, all patients required invasive mechanical ventilation, and a Swan-Ganz catheter for hemodynamic monitoring.

In all patients we achieved the initial goal of providing a bridge to heart transplantation (patient 1), and to recovery (patients 2, 3 and 4). The mean duration of support was 5 (2.5) days, and none of the patients had serious complications such as stroke or lower limb ischemia (in all patients angiography was performed prior to implantation to check that femoral artery size was adequate, and as a guide for cannula size). Two patients (1 and 4) required multiple blood product transfusions, specifically upon initiation of support, with subsequent stabilization, and 2 patients required intravenous antibiotic treatment for infectious complications (Table). We managed to wean 3 patients (75%) off the device, following revascularization and recovery of ventricular function. The other patient (patient 1) required implantation of a Berlin Heart EXCOR biventricular assist device as a bridge to heart transplantation, which was subsequently performed successfully. Survival to discharge and 100-day survival were both 100%. Left ventricular ejection fraction on discharge is shown in the Table. Our study has the limitations of being single center and having a small sample number. However, our initial experience shows that early implantation of percutaneous VA-ECMO in the cardiac catheterization laboratory, carried out by an interventionalist cardiologist, in patients with refractory cardiogenic shock secondary to myocardial infarction, is feasible and safe, and avoids dependence on surgical treatment and possible delay in its performance in extreme clinical emergencies. Appropriate patient selection is fundamental, and VA-ECMO implantation probably has a stronger indication in patients with acute and potentially reversible heart failure, as a bridge to recovery, long-term circulatory assist device implantation, or heart transplantation.
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Initial Experience With a New Ablation Catheter Using Laser Energy for Electrical Pulmonary Vein Isolation

Experiencia inicial con un nuevo catéter balón de ablación con energía láser para el aislamiento eléctrico de las venas pulmonares

To the Editor,

Catheter ablation is the recommended treatment in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation refractory to antiarrhythmic therapy or with symptomatic persistent atrial fibrillation that cannot be controlled by other therapeutic strategies. The main objective of this procedure is electrical isolation of the pulmonary veins. The most widely used techniques are point-by-point radiofrequency ablation and cryoablation. Recently, an ablation system has been introduced that uses laser energy. The catheter, which includes a compliant balloon, enables direct visualization of the left atrium and the pulmonary veins to guide the ablation. The first clinical results showed good safety and efficacy. Our objective was to describe the first use of the laser balloon catheter for pulmonary vein isolation in Spain, evaluating its efficacy and safety.

We included patients who underwent ablation of atrial fibrillation between February 2013 and March 2014. All patients signed an informed consent form. We excluded those with a long history of persistent atrial fibrillation or significant structural heart disease.

The ablation system (CardioFocus, Marlborough, Massachusetts, United States) includes the following components: a steerable sheath (15 Fr), adaptable to a balloon catheter, with an adjustable diameter (up to 35 mm) and an atraumatic tip (Figure B); an endoscope (2 Fr); an optical fiber; and a console for selection of the power and duration of the applications. The endoscope and optical fiber are inserted via the balloon catheter. As viewed with the endoscope, blood is red and the tissue in contact with the balloon is white (Figure C); there is a blind area of 45°, making it necessary to rotate the catheter to complete the ablation line. Energy (a 980 nm diode laser) is applied by the optical fiber.

A quadripolar catheter was used to stimulate the phrenic nerve during ablation and an esophageal temperature probe was inserted. Following pulmonary vein angiography, the balloon catheter was introduced and was inflated until the occlusion of each vein was completed. The antral or ostial anatomy was confirmed by the radiological or endoscopic image. The objective was to direct the laser beam as close as possible to the antrum. Each application overlapped the preceding one by 30% to 50% to achieve a continuous ablation line. The energy applied (5.5-12 W) was adjusted according to the expance of tissue visualized and the presence of blood trapped by the balloon. Once the circumference of each vein had been completely encircled, bidirectional block was confirmed. When conduction persisted, additional applications were carried out in accordance with Lasso® catheter recordings.

Forty-two patients underwent laser catheter ablation. The patients' characteristics are summarized in the Table. The pulmonary vein anatomy was normal in 86% of the patients, that of the left common pulmonary vein in 7%, and that of the right common pulmonary vein in 5%. The mean duration (standard deviation) of the procedure was 159 (30) minutes, with a mean fluoroscopy time of 41 (15) minutes. In all, 162 (99%) of the 164 veins included were isolated. Ablation of both ipsilateral veins was accomplished with a single encirclement in 21% of the

Figure. A: Components of the ablation system. B: Adaptable balloon with soft, atraumatic tip. C: Endoscopic view of pulmonary venous antrum.