The SCN5A<sup>Arg219His</sup> mutation was present in all affected persons in the paternal family. The phenotype was very similar to that described earlier, although in the literature, SSS was reported in unrelated patients.1,2 This is therefore the first time that cosegregation of SCN5A<sup>Arg219His</sup> with familial paralysis of the atrium1,2 has been reported (Figure 2). In addition, 2 women aged 58 years and 34 years (II.8 and III.7, respectively) were identified as asymptomatic carriers. This finding fits with the later clinical presentation in women (Figure 1D).

The HCN4<sup>Arg1068His</sup> mutation and the KCNE1<sup>Asp55Asn</sup> polymorphism were transmitted by the mother, whose clinical study was normal. It was not possible to study the other members of the mother's family. Given that lack of cosegregation with SSS, HCN4<sup>Arg1068His</sup> is considered a variant of unknown significance that does not explain FPA.

Sick sinus syndrome is defined as abnormal formation and propagation of the electric impulse in the sinus node. It is characterized by sinus bradycardia, sinoatrial block, sinus arrest, chronotropic incompetence, and/or atrial tachyarhythmias (essentially atrial fibrillation). It is a common disorder in elderly individuals but rarely seen in young people. A permanent PM needs to be implanted in approximately 50% of affected individuals.4 According to data from the Spanish Pacemaker Registry,5 SSS and atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter with bradycardia account for approximately 36% of PM implementations. There does not appear to be any predominance of one sex or the other (ratio of men to women, 0.98) although atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter with bradycardia occurs predominantly in men (ratio, 1.7).5

We consider the SCN5A<sup>Arg219His</sup> mutation the cause of the familial condition as it shows cosegregation with the disease and has been described previously. The HCN4<sup>Arg1068His</sup> mutation is a variant of unknown significance that could act as a disease modifier, although it is not the cause. These findings would enable genetic counselling to be provided to individual carriers (Figure 2). In the case of asymptomatic patients, follow-up with electrocardiogram, Holter, and echocardiographic studies (given the association with dilated cardiomyopathy) were planned, and, depending on the symptoms, exercise testing was considered to detect chronotropic incompetence. The familial study, in this case, was essential to clarify which of the documented genetic variants was the cause of the familial paralysis of the atrium.
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**Impella CP® Circulatory Support Device as a Bridge to Heart Transplantation: First Experience in Spain**

**Dispositivo de asistencia circulatoria Impella CP® como terapia puente a trasplante cardiaco: primera experiencia en España**

**To the Editor,**

Death due to cardiogenic shock is still high (50%–80%) despite early coronary revascularization, intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation, and short-term mechanical ventricular assist devices (VADs; extracorporeal membrane oxygenator or Levitronix®).

One recently approved short-term percutaneous VAD, the Impella CP®, provides a theoretical flow of up to 4 L.

We report the case of a 37-year-old woman with cardiomyopathy after childhood thoracic chemotherapy and radiotherapy who had spent 6 months on the elective heart transplant list due to advanced heart failure. When the patient was admitted with refractory cardiogenic shock despite inotropic therapy (INTERMACS level 2), it was decided to implant a VAD as a bridge to heart transplant. However, due to the history of thoracic irradiation, reduced size of the left ventricle (46 mL), and severe dysfunction of the right ventricle, she was a suboptimal candidate for a surgical VAD, and a percutaneous 4-L Impella CP® device was implanted. Implantation was performed without complications via a right femoral approach (Figure), and the mean flow achieved of 3 L improved her clinical and hemodynamic profile (Table). Given the cardiogenic shock and dependence on a short-term VAD, she was prioritized on the national emergency heart transplant waiting list. The device was stopped after 10 days because it displayed a “high motor current” alarm. Because the patient showed renewed hemodynamic deterioration, the dysfunctional device was removed and a new one was implanted. After 4 days with this second device...
From this experience, we learned that percutaneous implantation is straightforward and can be performed in the catheterization laboratory in a mean time of 15 min. For implantation, a 14-Fr introducer is required to pass the motor (12 Fr). This introducer is the peel-away type and fits a flexible 10-Fr sheath. This difference in diameter can allow bleeding around the sheath. In our patient, the risk of bleeding was controlled by adjusting the slipknot of the Proglide® around the 10-Fr sheath. Additionally, the Impella device moved between 2 cm and 4 cm on 4 occasions. Relocalization was performed at the bedside with echocardiographic monitoring.

The Impella device can cause hemolysis, which can be reduced by optimization of the minimum revolutions required to maintain the desired flow; in our patient, the initial flow of 3.3 L with the maximum power (46 000 rpm) was later decreased to between 2.8 L and 3.0 L (44 000 rpm) to reduce hemolysis. This flow was sufficient to stabilize the patient (1.43 m² body surface area) without overloading the right ventricle. If the flow had been insufficient and/or there had been refractory right ventricle failure, an extracorporeal membrane oxygenator would have been implanted as a VAD.

The first notable complication was the motor dysfunction that occurred on the tenth day after surgery. This is the first report of mechanical failure due to exceeding the approved duration of use (7 days). Currently, the mean waiting time for an urgent heart transplant is 8 days. Thus, this device offers hemodynamic support for a reasonable period of time while a patient is waiting on a national emergency transplant list; once the approved duration of use has elapsed, individualization of the strategy is required: replacement of the Impella Levitronix Centrimag or implantation of another longer-lasting VAD (Berlin Heart EXCOR®, HeartMate II, or HeartWare).

The second noteworthy complication was cerebral hemorrhage, which occurred when the patient was anticoagulated with sodium heparin with an activated clotting time of 168 s (recommended time, 160-180 s). The prevalence of hemorrhagic complications for axial flow devices is 24%; this type of flow can cause mechanical shear stress, a syndrome termed acquired von Willebrand syndrome. This condition affects small vessels; the most common complications being gastrointestinal bleeding. In our patient, we could not rule out this syndrome or the presence of pre-existing cerebral arteriovenous malformations.

In conclusion, the Impella CP® device can be an alternative to surgical VAD in selected patients with cardiogenic shock as a bridge to decision-making or heart transplant. This device is limited to between 7 and 10 days and is not without serious complications.

Table
Hemodynamic and Analytical Data Before and After Impella CP® Implantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After 48 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swan-Ganz, mmHg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAP</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP</td>
<td>52/28 (36)</td>
<td>43/20 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWP</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO/CI</td>
<td>2.2/1.4</td>
<td>3.5/2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function, GOT/GPT</td>
<td>139/73</td>
<td>164/44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion: lactic acid</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dL</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuresis, mL/h</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, cardiac index; CO, cardiac output; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure; PWP, pulmonary wedge pressure; RAP, right atrial pressure.
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Successful Use of Maribavir for Drug-resistant Cytomegalovirus Colitis in a Heart Transplant Recipient

Uso exitoso de maribavir en un caso de colitis por citomegalovirus farmacoresistente en un paciente receptor de un trasplante cardíaco

To the Editor,

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection is associated with significant morbidity and unfavorable outcomes in transplant recipients. Clinical resistance to classic anti-CMV drugs (ganciclovir, valganciclovir, foscarnet and their combinations) and the occurrence of unacceptable adverse events may confront attending physicians with seemingly insoluble situations. Maribavir, a novel benzimidazole antiviral agent, may be of help in this setting.

We report the case of a 45-year-old male recipient of a CMV D/R+ heart transplant. Immunosuppression consisted of basiliximab induction (2 doses) and triple therapy with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and prednisone. Since he was not at high risk for CMV, a preemptive therapy strategy was planned.

Four weeks after heart transplantation, the patient developed mild fever, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. Physical examination revealed hepatosplenomegaly. Cytomegalovirus viral load (COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® CMV test) was 4270 copies/mL. He was treated with intravenous ganciclovir (5 mg/kg twice daily) for 3 weeks, with no improvement in his symptoms or CMV viremia. Foscarnet (60 mg/kg once daily iv) was added, and mycophenolate was switched to azathioprine to decrease diarrhea.

After 3 additional weeks of combined anti-CMV treatment, there was clinical improvement, with resolution of diarrhea and low-indeterminate CMV loads (< 150 copies/mL). Moderate generalized muscle cramps and hypocalcemia were attributed to foscarnet. Anti-CMV therapy was switched to oral valganciclovir (900 mg twice daily). Two weeks later, the diarrhea resumed, with persistently low CMV PCR loads. A colonoscopy confirmed CMV colitis (Figure), and viral load increased to 7500 copies/mL. Baseline immunosuppression was changed: tacrolimus and azathioprine were replaced by a combination of cyclosporine and everolimus. Foscarnet (55 mg/kg once daily iv) was added to oral valganciclovir. Again, muscle cramps and hypocalcemia developed, with worsening renal function (Creatinine: 3 mg/dL and neutropenia (2,200 leukocytes/mm³) associated with foscarnet and valganciclovir. Because of clinical resistance to different combinations of anti-CMV therapies over 10 weeks and drug toxicities, we decided to initiate oral maribavir (200 mg/8 h) as an off-label therapy, with a baseline viral load of 1680 copies/mL. Three weeks later, CMV PCR counts were reduced to 200 mg three times daily, with resolution of diarrhea.

Figure. Timeline of clinical findings, treatment and cytomegalovirus viral load. Cytomegalovirus plasma viral loads and renal function are shown as weekly values. Abd, abdominal; Asymp, asymptomatic; CMV, cytomegalovirus; HT, heart transplantation; iv, intravenous; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil.